

1990

CONVEXITY PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZATIONS OF THE ARITHMETIC-GEOMETRIC MEAN

Roger D. Nussbaum

Mathematics Department
Rutgers University
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Joel E. Cohen

The Rockefeller University
1230 York Avenue, Box 20
New York, NY 10021-6399

ABSTRACT

In the eighteenth century, Landen, Lagrange and Gauss studied a function of two positive real numbers that has become known as the arithmetic-geometric mean (AGM). In the nineteenth century, Borchardt generalized the AGM to a function of any 2^n ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) positive real numbers. In this paper, we generalize the AGM to a function of any even number of positive real numbers. If $M(a, b)$ is the original AGM then $M(a, b)$ is concave in the pair (a, b) of positive numbers and $\log M(e^\alpha, e^\beta)$ is convex in the pair (α, β) of real numbers; all our generalizations of the AGM behave similarly. We generalize this analysis extensively.

If a and b are positive real numbers, define a new pair (a_1, b_1) of positive reals by

$$(a_1, b_1) = f(a, b) = \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, [ab]^{1/2} \right). \quad (1)$$

If f^k denotes the k th iterate of f , there is a positive number $\lambda = \lambda(a, b)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(a, b) = (\lambda, \lambda). \quad (2)$$

The number λ is usually called the arithmetic-geometric mean or AGM of a and b and is sometimes written $M(a, b)$. Landen, Lagrange and Gauss (see [2,7] for references) independently proved that

$$\lambda(a, b) = \frac{\pi}{2I(a, b)} \quad (3)$$

where

$$I(a, b) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\theta}{[a^2 \cos^2 \theta + b^2 \sin^2 \theta]^{1/2}}. \quad (4)$$

A nice proof of (3) is given by Carlson [5].

Recall that a map g from a convex subset D of a vector space X to the reals is called "convex" if $g((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)g(x) + tg(y)$ for all $x, y \in D, 0 \leq t \leq 1$. The map g is "concave" if $-g$ is convex. Define $K = \{x \in \mathfrak{R}^n : x_j \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and $\overset{\circ}{K}$ to be the interior of K , i.e., the set of real n vectors with positive elements. More generally, if K is a cone in a Banach space and if $f : \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ is a suitable map, it may happen that for every $x \in \overset{\circ}{K}$ there exists a fixed point $g(x) \in \overset{\circ}{K}$ of f such that $\lim f^k(x) = g(x)$: see Theorem 1 below or Section 3 of [12] for some general results of this type. For $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ and $y \in \overset{\circ}{K}$, define $e^x = (e^{x_1}, e^{x_2}, \dots, e^{x_n})$ and $\log y = (\log y_1, \log y_2, \dots, \log y_n)$. We shall give general conditions under which $y \rightarrow g(y)$ is concave or convex or $x \rightarrow \log g(e^x)$ is convex. The purpose of this note is to prove very general convexity results of this type, by elementary arguments, for large classes of examples of interest. A very special corollary of these results for the AGM is that the map $(a, b) \rightarrow \lambda(a, b)$ is concave and $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \log \lambda(e^\alpha, e^\beta)$ is convex, and even the latter result may be new.

If a, b, c, d are positive reals, Borchardt (see [2,4]) defined a map f by

$$f(a, b, c, d) = \left(\frac{a+b+c+d}{4}, \frac{[ab]^{1/2} + [cd]^{1/2}}{2}, \frac{[ac]^{1/2} + [bd]^{1/2}}{2}, \frac{[ad]^{1/2} + [bc]^{1/2}}{2} \right) \quad (5)$$

and proved (this is the easy part of his work) that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(a, b, c, d) = (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda, \lambda), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (6)$$

Borchardt defined an analogous map whenever the number of variables is a power of 2.

We now offer a new natural generalization of the map (5) whenever n , the number of variables, is an even integer, $n = 2m$. To do this we recall a special case of a much deeper result of Baranyai [3] concerning partitions of a finite set into subsets with j elements. Let $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let E denote the collection of subsets with exactly two elements; so E has $n(n-1)/2$ elements. If $n = 2m$, then one can partition E into $n-1$ disjoint sets F_j , $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, each containing m elements, such that if, for some j , $A, B \in F_j$, then $A \cap B = \emptyset$ if $A \neq B$; and such that

$$X = \bigcup_{A \in F_j} A, \quad \text{for each } j.$$

If $n = 2m$ and E is partitioned into $n-1$ subsets F_j as above, define

$$\phi_{F_j}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, k \leq n \\ \{i, k\} \in F_j}} [x_i x_k]^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } x \in K. \quad (7)$$

Define a map $f : K \rightarrow K$ (dependent on the above partition of E) by

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k, \quad (8)$$

$$f_j(x) = \phi_{F_{j-1}}(x) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq n, \quad (9)$$

where $f_j(x)$ denotes the j th component of $f(x)$. We shall refer to such a map as a "Borchardt map." For example, if $n=6$, a Borchardt map is given by

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{6}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6),$$

$$f_2(x) = \frac{1}{3}([x_1 x_2]^{1/2} + [x_3 x_4]^{1/2} + [x_5 x_6]^{1/2}),$$

$$f_3(x) = \frac{1}{3}([x_1 x_3]^{1/2} + [x_2 x_5]^{1/2} + [x_4 x_6]^{1/2}),$$

$$f_4(x) = \frac{1}{3}([x_1 x_4]^{1/2} + [x_2 x_6]^{1/2} + [x_3 x_5]^{1/2}),$$

$$f_5(x) = \frac{1}{3}([x_1 x_5]^{1/2} + [x_3 x_6]^{1/2} + [x_2 x_4]^{1/2}),$$

$$f_6(x) = \frac{1}{3}([x_1 x_6]^{1/2} + [x_4 x_5]^{1/2} + [x_2 x_3]^{1/2}).$$

According to Corollary 2 below, if $f: \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ is a Borchardt map, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(x) = \lambda(x)(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ for every $x \in \overset{\circ}{K}$, where $\lambda(x) > 0$ and $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)$ is real analytic. Again one can ask about concavity or convexity properties of the map $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)$.

There are many examples of "means and their iterates": see [1, 2, 5-8, 10-15] and the references there. In order to handle these examples in a reasonably unified way, we shall need a general framework. If X is a Hausdorff, topological vector space over the real numbers, a subset C of X will be called a cone (with vertex at 0) if C is closed and convex, $tC \subset C$ for all $t > 0$, and $x \in C - \{0\}$ implies that $-x \notin C$. An example is provided by $K = \{x \in \mathfrak{R}^n : x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, which we shall call the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n . A cone induces a partial ordering on x by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in C$. If x and y are elements of C , x and y will be called "comparable" if there exist strictly positive scalars α and β such that $\alpha x \leq y \leq \beta x$. Comparability is an equivalence relationship and divides C into disjoint equivalence classes called "components of C ". If $u \in C - \{0\}$, we shall define C_u by

$$C_u = \{x \in C : x \text{ is comparable to } u\}. \quad (10)$$

Note that if C has nonempty interior and $u \in \overset{\circ}{C}$, then $C_u = \overset{\circ}{C}$. In the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n , C_u is all the vectors in C with positive elements at the same positions as those of u .

Suppose that $X_j, j = 1, 2$, is a Hausdorff topological real vector space with cone C_j . If D is a subset of X_1 , a map $f : D \rightarrow X_2$ is called "order-preserving" if $f(x) \leq_2 f(y)$ for all $x, y \in D$ such that $x \leq_1 y$. Here \leq_1 denotes the partial ordering induced by C_1 and \leq_2 that induced by C_2 . If $X_1 = X_2$ we shall usually have $C_1 = C_2$. If D is a convex subset of X_1 , a map $g : D \rightarrow X_2$ will be called "concave" if $g((1-t)x + ty) \geq (1-t)g(x) + tg(y)$ for all $x, y \in D$ and real numbers t with $0 \leq t \leq 1$; g will be called convex if $-g$ is concave.

Now suppose that C is a cone in a Hausdorff topological real vector space X , C_v is a component of C and $f : C_v \rightarrow C_v$ is a map. Assume that for every $x \in C_v$ (or for every x in some convex open subset G of C_v) there exists $u(x) \in C_v$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(x) = u(x). \quad (11)$$

We are interested in concavity and convexity properties of $x \rightarrow u(x)$. In many examples, the vector $u(x)$ in (11) is always a positive multiple of a fixed vector $u \in C_v$, $u(x) = \lambda(x)u$; and in this case one can ask about concavity and convexity properties of $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)$.

The existence of a limit as in (11) is a strong assumption, but there are many examples for which the existence of such a limit has been established: see [2, 6, 14], Section 3 of [12] and [15]. We mention explicitly a special case of Theorem 3.2 in [12].

Theorem 1. (See Theorem 3.2 in [12].) Let C be a cone with nonempty interior $\overset{\circ}{C}$ in a finite dimensional Banach space X . Assume that $f: \overset{\circ}{C} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{C}$ is order-preserving (with respect to the partial order induced by C) and homogeneous of degree one (so $f(tx) = tf(x)$ for all $x \in \overset{\circ}{C}$ and $t > 0$). Assume that $f(u) = u$ for some $u \in \overset{\circ}{C}$, that f is continuously Fréchet differentiable on an open neighborhood of u , and that there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $L^m(C - \{0\}) \subset \overset{\circ}{C}$, where $L = f'(u)$ is the Fréchet derivative of f at u . Then for every $x \in \overset{\circ}{C}$ there exists $\lambda(x) > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|f^k(x) - \lambda(x)u\| = 0.$$

The map $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)$ is continuous on $\overset{\circ}{C}$ and continuously differentiable on an open neighborhood of u . If $u^* = \lambda'(u)$, the Fréchet derivative of λ at u , then $u^*(u) = 1$ and $L^*(u^*) = u^*$. If f is C^k (real analytic) on $\overset{\circ}{C}$, then $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)$ is C^k (real analytic) on $\overset{\circ}{C}$.

Related theorems in which f is not necessarily order-preserving are given in Section 3 of [12] and [15].

If K is the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n , then L is the Jacobian matrix of f at u and L has all nonnegative entries. The assumptions of the theorem amount to the assumption that L is "primitive," i.e., L^m has all positive entries for some positive integer m .

It will be useful to recall the definition of a class M of maps of the standard cone K in \mathfrak{R}^n into itself. The class M has been extensively studied in [12, 13] and includes many examples of generalized means. If σ is a probability vector in K (so

$\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i = 1$) and r is a real number, define a map $M_{r,\sigma}: \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$M_{r,\sigma}(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i x_i^r \right)^{1/r}. \quad (12)$$

If $r = 0$, define

$$M_{0\sigma}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\sigma_i} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} M_{r\sigma}(x). \quad (13)$$

For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, let Γ_i be a finite collection of ordered pairs (r, σ) , where $r \in \mathfrak{R}$ and σ is a probability vector in K ; and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $(r, \sigma) \in \Gamma_i$ suppose that $c_{i\sigma}$ is a given positive number. Define a map $f: \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ by

$$f_i(x) = \text{the } i \text{ th component of } f(x) = \sum_{(r, \sigma) \in \Gamma_i} c_{i\sigma} M_{r\sigma}(x). \quad (14)$$

If $f: \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ can be written as in (14) we shall say that $f \in M$. If $f \in M$ and $f_i(x)$ can be expressed as in (14) in such a way that $r \geq 0$ for all $(r, \sigma) \in \Gamma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, we shall write $f \in M_+$; if f can be written so that $r < 0$ for all $(r, \sigma) \in \Gamma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, we shall write $f \in M_-$. Linear maps in M lie in $M_+ \cap M_-$ because, if δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta,

$$\sum_{(r, \sigma) \in \Gamma_i} c_{i\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j x_j = \sum_{(r, \sigma) \in \Gamma_i} \sum_{j=1}^n c_{i\sigma} \sigma_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{jk} x_k^{-1} \right)^{-1}$$

and the left side is in M_+ while the right is in M_- . We define $M(M_+, M_-)$ to be the smallest set of maps $f: \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ which is closed under composition of functions and addition of functions and contains $M(M_+, M_-)$. One can prove (see [14] and Section 2 of [13]) that if $f \in M$, then f is order-preserving, homogeneous of degree one, C^∞ (in fact, real analytic) on $\overset{\circ}{K}$, and extends continuously to K . In particular, Borchardt maps are elements of M_+ and are order-preserving and homogeneous of degree one. We shall apply our theorems to functions $f \in M$.

For completeness, we begin with some easy lemmas, the proofs of which are omitted.

Lemma 1. Let D_i be a convex subset of a Hausdorff, topological real vector space X_i , $i = 1, 2$, and suppose that X_3 is also a Hausdorff topological real vector space. Assume that C_i , $i = 2, 3$, is a cone in X_i and that $g: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ is a concave (respectively, convex) map with respect to the ordering induced by C_2 and $f: D_2 \rightarrow D_3$ is concave (respectively, convex) and order-preserving with respect to the orderings induced by C_2 and C_3 . Then $h = f \circ g$ is concave (respectively, convex) and h is order-preserving if g is order-preserving.

Lemma 2. Suppose that X is a Hausdorff topological real vector space and that C is a cone in X . Assume that D is a convex subset of X and that $g_k : D \rightarrow X$, $1 \leq k < \infty$, is a concave (respectively, convex) map with respect to the partial ordering induced by C . Assume that for every $x \in D$ one has

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k(x) = g(x). \quad (15)$$

Then the map g is concave (respectively, convex).

If g_k in Lemma 2 is order-preserving for all $k \geq 1$, one easily can prove that g is order-preserving.

Theorem 2. Let C be a cone in a Hausdorff topological real vector space X and for $v \in C - \{0\}$, let C_v be as in (10). Assume that $f : C_v \rightarrow C_v$ is order-preserving and concave (respectively, convex) and that for every $x \in C_v$, there exists $u(x) \in C_v$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(x) = u(x). \quad (16)$$

Then the map $x \rightarrow u(x)$ is concave (respectively, convex) and order-preserving.

Proof. Define $g_k(x) = f^k(x)$ and $g(x) = u(x)$. Repeated application of Lemma 1 implies that g_k is concave and order-preserving. The conclusion of the theorem then follows from Lemma 2. []

Theorem 2 is of interest only if one can find examples of functions f which are order-preserving, concave (or convex) and satisfy (16). The next theorem gives a start in this direction.

Theorem 3. Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Theorem 1. In addition, assume that $f : \overset{\circ}{C} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{C}$ is concave (respectively, convex). Then the map $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)$ is concave (respectively, convex).

Proof. Theorems 1 and 2 imply that $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)u$ is concave (convex). By the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists a continuous linear functional ψ which is nonnegative on C and satisfies $\psi(u) = 1$. Because ψ is concave and order-preserving, the map $x \rightarrow \psi(\lambda(x)u) = \lambda(x)$ is concave. []

It remains to give some examples. The next lemma is a classical result.

Lemma 3. (See [9].) Let K denote the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n , r a real number and $\sigma \in K$ a probability vector. If $r \leq 1$, the map $x \in \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow M_{r,\sigma}(x)$ is concave; and if $r \geq 1$, the map is convex.

Now define M_1 to be the collection of functions $f \in M$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $f_i(x)$ can be represented as in (14) so that $r \leq 1$ for all $(r, \sigma) \in \Gamma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define M_1 to be the smallest set of functions $f : \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ such that M_1 contains M_1 and M_1 is closed under addition and composition of functions.

Lemma 4. If $f \in M_1$, f is homogeneous of degree 1, order-preserving and concave.

Proof. We have already noted that if $f \in M \supset M_1$, f is homogeneous of degree one and order-preserving. It remains to prove that f is concave. Let A denote the set of maps $f : \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ which are homogeneous of degree one, order-preserving and concave. Lemma 3 implies that $M_1 \subset A$, and Lemma 1 implies that A is closed under composition. The proof that A is closed under addition is also easy and left to the reader. The minimality of M_1 now implies that $M_1 \subset A$. []

Corollary 1. Let K denote the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n and assume that $f \in M_1$ (M_1 is defined as above). Assume that there exists $u \in \overset{\circ}{K}$ such that $f(u) = u$ and that there exists $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{K}$ such that $f'(x_0)$ is primitive. Then for every $x \in \overset{\circ}{K}$, there exists $\lambda(x) > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(x) = \lambda(x)u, \quad (17)$$

and the map $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)$ is concave.

Proof. It is proved in Lemma 2.2 of [13] (or one can easily prove directly) that if $f \in M$ (which contains M_1) then $f'(x)$ and $f'(y)$ have the same pattern of zero and positive entries for all $x, y \in \overset{\circ}{K}$. In particular, $f'(x)$ is primitive for all $x \in \overset{\circ}{K}$, and (17) follows from Theorem 1. The concavity of $\lambda(x)$ follows from Theorem 2 and Lemma 4. []

Remark 1. If $f \in M_+$ and $f'(x_0)$ is primitive for some $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{K}$, there exists $u \in \overset{\circ}{K}$ such that $f(u) = \lambda_0 u$ and u is unique to within scalar multiples: see Section 2 of [13] and [14]. Thus if $f \in M_+ \cap M_1$, Corollary 1 can be applied to $\lambda_0^{-1}f(x) = g(x)$. For general $f \in M$, the question of the existence of an eigenvector u in the interior of K appears to be subtle: see Section 3 of [13].

Corollary 2. Suppose that $n = 2m$ is an even integer, K is the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n and $f : \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ is a Borchardt map. If $u = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, then $f(u) = u$ and for every $x \in \overset{\circ}{K}$ one has

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(x) = \lambda(x)u.$$

The map $x \rightarrow \lambda(x)$ is concave. In particular, if $M(a, b)$ denotes the AGM of positive numbers a and b , $(a, b) \rightarrow M(a, b)$ is concave.

Proof. Clearly $f \in M_1$, $f'(x_0)$ has all positive entries for every $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{K}$ and $f(u) = u$, so Corollary 2 follows immediately from Corollary 1. \square

The next theorem is a variant of Theorem 2.

Theorem 4. Let C, C_v and X be as in Theorem 2. Assume that $f : C_v \rightarrow C_v$ is order-preserving and that for every $x \in C_v$ there exists $u(x) \in C_v$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(x) = u(x).$$

Let D be a convex subset of X and $\psi : D \rightarrow C_v$ a homeomorphism of D onto C_v such that ψ and ψ^{-1} are both order-preserving (or both order-reversing) and $\psi^{-1}f\psi$ is convex (respectively, concave). Then the map $x \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(u(\psi(x)))$ is convex (respectively, concave) and order-preserving.

Proof. By assumption $\psi^{-1}f\psi$ is convex and order-preserving. Lemma 1 implies that $(\psi^{-1}f\psi)^k = \psi^{-1}f^k\psi$ is convex and order-preserving. The continuity of ψ and the displayed equation above then imply that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\psi^{-1}f\psi)^k(x) = \psi^{-1}(u(\psi(x)))$. Lemma

2 implies that $\psi^{-1}u\psi$ is convex and order-preserving. \square

Remark 2. In general, the fact that a homeomorphism ψ is order-preserving does not imply that ψ^{-1} is order-preserving. For example, if K is the cone of positive semidefinite self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space H , the map $\psi(A) = A^{1/2}$ is an order-preserving homeomorphism of K onto K , but $\psi^{-1}(A) = A^2$ is not order-preserving.

If K is the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n , define a homeomorphism ψ of \mathfrak{R}^n onto $\overset{\circ}{K}$ by

$$\psi(y) \equiv e^y = (e^{y_1}, e^{y_2}, \dots, e^{y_n}), \quad (18)$$

so

$$\psi^{-1}(x) \equiv \log(x) = (\log(x_1), \log(x_2), \dots, \log(x_n)).$$

Both ψ and ψ^{-1} are order-preserving maps with respect to the partial ordering induced by K .

Lemma 5. If K is the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n , $f \in M_+$ and $\psi(y) = e^y$ is defined by (18), then $\psi^{-1}f\psi$ is a convex, order-preserving map of \mathfrak{R}^n to \mathfrak{R}^n .

Proof. Suppose that Γ is a finite collection of ordered pairs (r, σ) , where r is a nonnegative real number and $\sigma \in K$ is a probability vector. For each $(r, \sigma) \in \Gamma$, let $c_{r,\sigma}$ be a positive real and define $g : \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ by $g(x) = \sum_{(r,\sigma) \in \Gamma} c_{r,\sigma} M_{r,\sigma}(x)$. To see that

$y \rightarrow \log(g(e^y))$ is convex, note that if $u, v \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, $0 < t < 1$ and $r \geq 0$, then

$$M_{r,\sigma}(e^{(1-t)u+tv}) \leq (M_{r,\sigma}(e^u))^{1-t} (M_{r,\sigma}(e^v))^t. \quad (19)$$

If $r = 0$, inequality (19) becomes an equality, so assume $r > 0$. Hölder's inequality gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i e^{r(1-t)u_i + tv_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i e^{ru_i})^{1-t} (\sigma_i e^{rv_i})^t \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i e^{ru_i} \right)^{1-t} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i e^{rv_i} \right)^t, \quad (20)$$

and inequality (19) follows from inequality (20) by taking r th roots.

For notational convenience, if x and y are vectors in $\overset{\circ}{K}$ and α and β are real numbers define

$$x^\alpha y^\beta = (x_1^\alpha y_1^\beta, x_2^\alpha y_2^\beta, \dots, x_n^\alpha y_n^\beta).$$

Proving the convexity of $\log(g(e^y))$ is then equivalent to proving

$$g(e^{(1-t)u+tv}) \leq (g(e^u))^{1-t} (g(e^v))^t \quad (21)$$

where u, v and t are as above. By virtue of inequality (19), inequality (21) follows from

$$\sum_{(r,\sigma) \in \Gamma} (c_{r,\sigma} M_{r,\sigma}(e^u))^{1-t} (c_{r,\sigma} M_{r,\sigma}(e^v))^t \leq (g(e^u))^{1-t} (g(e^v))^t. \quad (22)$$

Inequality (22) is a consequence of Hölder's inequality.

By using the above result about g , we immediately see that $\psi^{-1}f\psi$ is convex if $f \in M_+$. Of course, it is trivial that $\psi^{-1}f\psi$ is order-preserving if $f \in M_+$, because ψ^{-1} , f and ψ are order-preserving.

To complete the proof, let A denote the set of maps $f : \overset{\circ}{K} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{K}$ such that f is order-preserving and $\psi^{-1}f\psi$ is convex. We know that $A \supset M_+$, so if we can prove that A is closed under composition and addition, it will follow that $A \supset M_+$. Closure under composition is immediate from Lemma 1. Closure under addition follows because (as is well-known) the sum of log convex functions is log convex. \square

Corollary 3. Let K denote the standard cone in \mathfrak{R}^n and suppose that $f \in M_+$ (where M_+ is defined as above). Assume that there exists $u \in K$ such that $f(u) = u$ and that $f'(x_0)$ is primitive for some $x_0 \in K$. Then for every $x \in K$ there exists $\lambda(x) > 0$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(x) = \lambda(x)u$, and for $y \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, the map $y \rightarrow \log(\lambda(e^y))$ is convex.

Proof. The first part of Corollary 3 is immediate from Theorem 1, and the convexity of $\log(\lambda(e^y))$ follows from Theorem 4 and Lemma 5. \square

As a special case of Corollary 3 we obtain:

Corollary 4. Let the assumptions and the notation be as in Corollary 2. Then the map $y \rightarrow \log(\lambda(e^y))$ is a convex map from \mathfrak{R}^n to \mathfrak{R} . In particular, if $M(a, b)$ denotes the AGM, $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \log M(e^\alpha, e^\beta)$ is convex.

Remark 3. If $I(a, b)$ is the integral given in (4), Corollary 2 and (3) imply that $(a, b) \rightarrow I(a, b)$ is convex and Corollary 4 implies that $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \log I(e^\alpha, e^\beta)$ is concave. Given that one knows the relationship between $I(a, b)$ and $M(a, b)$, a specialization of the argument given here seems the easiest way to prove these convexity properties of I .

An open problem is to develop analogues of known results about the AGM of pairs of nonzero complex numbers (not merely positive real numbers) [see 7] for the new "Borchardt maps" defined here, when these maps operate on even numbers of nonzero complex numbers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R.D.N. was partially supported by N.S.F. grant DMS 85-03316. J.E.C. was partially supported by N.S.F. grant BSR 87-05047. J.E.C. is grateful for the hospitality of Mr. and Mrs. William T. Golden during this work.

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando and F. Kubo, "Means of positive linear operators," *Math. Ann.* 246 (1980), 205-244.
2. J. Arazy, T. Claesson, S. Janson and J. Peetre, "Means and their iterations," *Proc. 19th Nordic Congr. Mathematicians*, Reykjavik, 1984, 191-212 (Icelandic Math. Soc., 1985).
3. Z. Baranyai, "On the factorization of the complete uniform hypergraph," *Infinite and Finite Sets*, ed. A. Hajnal, R. Rado and V. T. Sós, North Holland, 1975, 1 91-108.
4. C. W. Borchardt, *Gesammelte Werke*. Herausgegeben von G. Hettner. Reimer, Berlin, 1888.

5. B. C. Carlson, "Algorithms involving arithmetic and geometric means," *Amer. Math. Monthly* 78 (1971), 496-505.
6. J. E. Cohen and R. D. Nussbaum, "Arithmetic-geometric means of positive matrices," *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 101 (1987), 209-219.
7. D. A. Cox, "The arithmetic-geometric mean of Gauss," *Enseignement Math.* 30 (1984), 275-330.
8. J. Fujii, "Arithmetic-geometric mean of operators," *Math. Japonica* 23 (1979), 667-669.
9. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1934.
10. D. H. Lehmer, "On the compounding of certain means," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 36 (1971), 183-200.
11. R. D. Nussbaum, "Iterated nonlinear maps and Hilbert's projective metric: a summary," in *Dynamics of Infinite Dimensional Systems*, ed. Shui-Nee Chow and Jack K. Hale, Springer-Verlag, 1987, 231-249.
12. R. D. Nussbaum, "Iterated nonlinear maps and Hilbert's projective metric," *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75, No. 391, September, 1988.
13. R. D. Nussbaum, "Iterated nonlinear maps and Hilbert's projective metric, II," *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 79, No. 401, May, 1989.
14. R. D. Nussbaum, "Convexity and log convexity for the spectral radius," *Linear Alg. Applic.* 73 (1986), 59-122.
15. R. D. Nussbaum and J. E. Cohen, "The arithmetic-geometric mean and its generalizations for noncommuting linear operators," *Annali Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa*, Ser. IV, 15 (1988), 239-308.