# ERGODICITY OF AGE STRUCTURE IN POPULATIONS WITH MARKOVIAN VITAL RATES. II. GENERAL STATES 

JOEL E. COHEN, The Rockefeller University, New York


#### Abstract

The age structure of a large, unisexual, closed population is described here by a vector of the proportions in each age class. Non-negative matrices of age-specific birth and death rates, called Leslie matrices, map the age structure at one point in discrete time into the age structure at the next. If the sequence of Leslie matrices applied to a population is a sample path of an ergodic Markov chain, then: (i) the joint process consisting of the age structure vector and the Leslie matrix which produced that age structure is a Markov chain with explicit transition function; (ii) the joint distribution of age structure and Leslie matrix becomes independent of initial age structure and of the initial distribution of the Leslie matrix after a long time; (iii) when the Markov chain governing the Leslie matrix is homogeneous, the joint distribution in (ii) approaches a limit which may be easily calculated as the solution of a renewal equation. A numerical example will be given in Cohen (1977).
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## 1. Results and example

1.1. Summary. In human and other biological populations, the numbers of births and deaths sometimes fluctuate more than would be predicted by binomial sampling from processes with fixed underlying vital rates. A central problem of demography and of general population biology is to find intuitively reasonable, mathematically tractable and empirically successful models for observed and future variations in underlying vital rates.

The model studied in Cohen ((1976); hereafter referred to as Part I) and in this Part II is a three-tiered structure.

At the lowest level is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of age structures of a closed, unisexual population observed at discrete instants of time $n=0,1, \cdots$. Since attention focuses here on age structure, these vectors $y_{n}$ are normalized so that at each $n$ their elements sum to 1 .

At the middle level is a sequence of operators $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ representing the action of age-specific vital rates on age structure; $x_{n}$ maps $y_{n-1}$ into $y_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots$.

At the highest level is a model for passing from $x_{n-1}$ to $x_{n}$. In the classical theory of stable populations, the model at the highest level is an identity operator: $x_{n}=x_{n-1}$. Under that model, for certain choices of $y_{0}$ and $x_{1}, y_{n}$ approaches the unique limiting stable age distribution determined by $x_{1}$ but not by $y_{0}$. In the weak ergodic theorem of Lopez (see Golubitsky, Keeler and Rothschild (1975)), the model at the highest level is an arbitrary determinate sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in which the elements are chosen from a set $X$ of possible operators. Under that model, for certain choices of $y_{0}$ and $X, y_{n}$ approaches a possibly time-varying sequence which depends entirely on the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and not on $y_{0}$.

In both Parts I and II, the model for passing from $x_{n-1}$ to $x_{n}$ is a Markov chain, with certain ergodic and other properties, on a state space (or sample space) $X$ of possible operators.

In Part II, the behavior of the age structures $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is described by four theorems. They are stated formally in Section 1.2. The corollaries deal with cases of practical interest in demography and Markov chains.

Theorem 1 observes that, assuming smoothly behaved transition functions in the Markov chain on $X$ and smoothly behaved operators $x$ in $X$, the joint process ( $x_{n}, y_{n}$ ) of operators and points (vital rates and age structures) is a Markov chain (although $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ by itself is not in general a Markov chain). The transition function of this bivariate chain is written out explicitly in terms of the transition function governing $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$.

Theorem 2 says that under additional smoothness and ergodic conditions on the chain on $X$, and assuming the abstract equivalent of the weak ergodic theorem of demography, the bivariate chain $\left\{\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}$ also has ergodic features. The idea of the proof is simply to divide a long period from time $n$ to time $n+m$ into two long periods. The first, from $n$ to $n+r$, is long enough for the chain on $X$ to forget its past. The second, from $n+r$ to $n+m$, is long enough for contractions on $Y$ to obliterate the effects of the values of $y$ at time $n+r$ and, $a$ fortiori, at time $n$.

Theorem 3 supposes that the chain on $X$ in Theorem 2 is homogeneous. Then the bivariate chain converges in distribution to an invariant long-run distribution which may be calculated explicitly by solving a linear integral equation.

Theorem 4 says that under even stronger conditions on the smoothness of action of the operators from $X$, the bivariate chain $\left\{\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}$ satisfies a stronger ergodic condition; when the chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ of operators is homogeneous, the rate of convergence in distribution of the bivariate chain $\left\{\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}$ is exponential.

Corollary 1 suggests a possible model for estimation of the transition function of the chain on $\boldsymbol{X}$ from historical data on vital rates.

Corollary 2 applies Theorems 1 to 3 to finite Markov chains with random transition matrices. Each transition matrix is required to fall in a uniformly bounded class of scrambling matrices.

Corollary 3 rests on the concept, due to Hajnal (1976), of an ergodic set of matrices. The corollary shows that the same contractive property of the operators and of the stochastic process determining the choice of successive operators assures the results of Theorems 2 and 3 . This corollary permits the operators to belong to a finite class of non-negative matrices that is so general that applications of the theorem in genetics and economics become obvious.
1.2. Setting, definitions and results. Let $N$ be the set of natural numbers $\{1,2, \cdots\}, R$ the set of all finite real numbers $(-\infty,+\infty)$, and $P$ the set of non-negative finite reals $[0, \infty)$. If $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are any sets, $S^{\mathrm{c}}$ is the complement of $S, 2^{s}$ is the family of all subsets of $S$, and $S \triangle S^{\prime}=\left(S \cup S^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(S \cap S^{\prime}\right)^{c}$ is the symmetric difference of $S$ and $S^{\prime}$. Used with sets, + means disjoint union; thus $S+S^{\prime}$ means $S \cup S^{\prime}$, and moreover $S \cap S^{\prime}=\varnothing . \operatorname{Lim}_{n}(\cdot)$ means the limit of (•) as $n \rightarrow \infty, n$ in $N$ unless otherwise indicated. If $\mathscr{S}$ is a family of sets, $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$ is the minimal $\sigma$-field generated by $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{P})$ the ring generated by $\mathscr{S}$. If $x$ is a $k \times k$ real matrix, $k$ in $N, x=(x(i, j))$, define $\|x\|_{x}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{k}|x(i, j)|$. If $y$ is a $k$-vector (a column), $y=(y(i))$, define $\|y\|=\sum_{i=1}^{k}|y(i)|$.

The elements of the set $Y(X)$ will be denoted by $y(x)$ with or without affixes, e.g. $y^{\prime}, y_{1}, y_{n}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{1}, x_{n}\right)$, and similarly for sets $A(B)$ belonging to the field $\mathscr{A}(\mathscr{B})$. Elements of $Z$ will sometimes be denoted $z$ and sometimes $(x, y)$ with corresponding affixes if any. Thus $z^{\prime}$ is the same as ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) without further comment.

The transpose of a vector is indicated by a suffix ${ }^{\mathrm{Tr}}$.
Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \boldsymbol{P})$ be a probability space, and $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ a sequence for $n$ in $N$ of measurable functions from $\Omega$ into a measurable space $(X, \mathscr{A})$ where $X$ is a set and $\mathscr{A}$ is a $\sigma$-field of subsets of $X$. For every $A$ in $\mathscr{A}$ and $x$ in $X$, let $Q_{n}(A)=\boldsymbol{P}\left\{\omega \in \Omega: X_{n}(\omega) \in A\right\} \equiv P\left[X_{n} \in A\right] \quad$ and let $\quad P_{n}^{m}(x, A)=$ $P\left[X_{n+m} \in A \mid X_{n}=x\right]$. Assume $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ form a Markov chain. If $P_{n}^{1}=P_{1}^{1}$ for all $n$ in $N$, the chain is homogeneous. We sometimes abbreviate $P_{n}^{1}=P_{n}$.

Definition 1. The chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is weakly ergodic if and only if, for every $n$, for every $\varepsilon>0$, for every $A$ in $\mathscr{A}$ and for every $x, x^{\prime}$ in $X$, there exists $m_{0}$ such that for all $m \geqq m_{0},\left|P_{n}^{m}(x, A)-P_{n}^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, A\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

Definition 2. The chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly weakly ergodic if and only if, for every $n$, for every $\varepsilon>0$, and for $A$ in $\mathscr{A}$, there exists $m_{0}$ such that for all $m \geqq m_{0}$, $\sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in X}\left|P_{n}^{m}(x, A)-P_{n}^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, A\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

Definition 3. The chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is $S$-ergodic if and only if, for every $n$ and for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $m_{0}$ such that for all $m \geqq m_{0}$,

$$
\Delta_{n m}\left(\left\{X_{n}\right\}\right) \equiv \sup _{x, x \in X} \sup _{A \in \mathscr{A}}\left|P_{n}^{m}(x, A)-P_{n}^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, A\right)\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

This condition is identical to Griffeath's (1975) ' $S$-uniform ergodicity'.
These definitions apply to both homogeneous and inhomogeneous chains.
Any chain with a finite state space which is weakly ergodic is $S$-ergodic; and conversely.

We now list the assumptions needed to ensure the validity of Theorem 1 below. Proofs of the theorems will be found in Section 2. Suppose (Y,d) is a pseudometric space (of age structures), $\mathscr{B}$ the Borel $\sigma$-field of subsets of $Y$ generated by open spheres, and $\left(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu_{X}\right)$ is a $\sigma$-finite non-negative measure space (of vital rates operators) such that $\mathscr{A}$ is the $\sigma$-field generated by a topology on $X$. Let $Z=X \times Y$ and let $\mathscr{C}=\sigma(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$. (If, as in most applications, $X$ and $Y$ are separable metric spaces, then $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$ (Billingsley (1968), p. 225).)

Suppose that the application of an operator $x \in X$ to an element $y \in Y$ yields another element of $Y$ denoted by $x y$. Assume that the image $x y$ is a jointly continuous function of both $x$ and $y$. Let $B / y$ denote the set of all operators $x$ in $X$ which, when applied to an element $y$ in $Y$, produce an image $x y$ falling in $B \in \mathscr{B}$, i.e., $B / y=\{x \in X: x y \in B\}$. (Obviously $(B / y) y \subset B$.) Then suppose that $B / y$ is a uniformly continuous function of $y$, i.e. for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for any $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, if $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<\delta$, then $\mu_{x}\left((B / y) \triangle\left(B / y^{\prime}\right)\right)<\varepsilon$.

Now let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ form a Markov chain, i.e. for every $n$ let $P_{n}$ be a regular (Loève (1963), p. 137) Markov transition function. Let both the initial distribution $Q_{1}(\cdot)$ and $P_{n}^{m}(x, \cdot), x \in X$, be $\mu_{X}$-continuous. We define $\mathfrak{A}$ to be the Borel field (whose points are the sets $A$ in $\mathscr{A}$ ) which is generated by the family of open spheres $S(A, r)=\left\{A^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A}: \mu_{X}\left(A \triangle A^{\prime}\right)<r\right\}$, for all $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and $r>0$. Then we assume further that $(x, A) \rightarrow P_{n}^{m}(x, A)$ is jointly measurable, for every $n$ and $m$; that is, if $p \in[0,1]$, then $\left\{(x, A): x \in X, A \in \mathscr{A}\right.$ and $\left.P_{n}^{m}(x, A) \leqq p\right\} \in \sigma(A \times \mathfrak{A})$.

For a given $y_{0}$ in $Y$, define $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ inductively by $Y_{0}(\Omega)=y_{0}, \quad Y_{n}(\omega)=$ $X_{n}(\omega) Y_{n-1}(\omega)$. Define $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$, with sample probability space $(Z, \mathscr{C})$, by $Z_{n}(\omega)=$ $\left(X_{n}(\omega), Y_{n}(\omega)\right) . \quad$ Finally, define $\quad G_{n}: X \times Y \times(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}) \rightarrow[0,1] \quad$ by $G_{n}(x, y, A \times B)=P_{n}(x, A \cap(B / y))$.

Theorem 1. With the definitions and assumptions listed above, (i) $G_{n}$ is a regular conditional probability.
(ii) There is a unique extension of $G_{n}$ to a regular conditional probability which maps $X \times Y \times \mathscr{C}$ to [0,1]. (Again, this is immediate if $X$ and $Y$ are separable metric spaces.)
(iii) $Z_{n}$ is a Markov chain with one-step transition probability function given by $P\left[Z_{n+1} \in C \mid Z_{n}=z\right]=G_{n}(x, y, C), z \in Z, C \in \mathscr{C}, n \in N$, and with initial probability distribution $F_{1}$ determined by the unique extension to $\mathscr{C}$ of the
function $F_{1}: \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B} \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by $F_{1}(A \times B)=Q_{1}\left(A \cap\left(B / y_{0}\right)\right)$ where $Q_{1}$ is the distribution of $X_{1}$.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the one-step transition probability functions $P_{n}(x, \cdot)$ of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ are expressible as integrals of density functions. Suppose that these densities are uniformly bounded above, and that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is $S$-ergodic (Definition 3).

Suppose, analogously to the weak ergodic theorem of demography, that for every $\delta>0$ there exists $m_{0}$ such that for all $m \geqq m_{0}$, for all initial elements $y$, $y^{\prime} \in Y$, and all subsequent sequences of operators $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}$ from $X$, $d\left(x_{m} \cdots x_{1} y, x_{m} \cdots x_{1} y^{\prime}\right)<\delta$.

Then $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is a uniformly weakly ergodic Markov chain (Definition 2).
Theorem 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, let $F_{n}: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the distribution of $Z_{n}, n$ in $N$. Suppose, after some time $n_{0}$ in $N$ which, without loss of generality, we shall take to be $n_{0}=1$, the one-step transition functions of the chain $X_{n}$ are homogeneous in time, that is, $P_{n}=P_{1}$ for $n$ in $N$.

Then (i) there is a probability $F(\cdot): \mathscr{C} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that, for every $n$ in $N, C$ in $\mathscr{C}, \lim _{m} \sup _{(x, y) \in \mathcal{Z}}\left|G_{n}^{m}(x, y, C)-F(C)\right|=0$.
(ii) $F$ satisfies the renewal equation $F(C)=\int_{X} \int_{Y} F(d x \times d y) G_{n}(x, y, C)$, for all $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$, and any $n$ in $N$.
(iii) $F$ is an invariant distribution of the chain $Z_{n}$, that is, if $F_{1}=F$, then $F_{n}=F$ for all $n$ in $N$.
(iv) $\operatorname{Lim}_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} g\left(Z_{k}\right) / n=\int_{g} g(z) F(d z)$ almost surely, for any Borel function $g$ for which the integral exists. ${ }^{2}$
(v) Let $Z$ be a metric space, $\mathscr{C}$ the $\sigma$-field of Borel subsets of $Z$. Let $g: Z \rightarrow R$ be any bounded, real, measurable function that is continuous almost everywhere with respect to $F$. Then for all $n$ in $N, x^{\prime}$ in $X, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, $\lim _{m}\left|\int_{z} g(z) G_{n}^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, y, d z\right)-\int_{z} g(z) F(d z)\right|=0$.

Theorem 4. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, replace the assumption that $B / y$ is a uniformly continuous function of $y$ by the stronger assumption of uniform equicontinuity, namely, for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $B$ in $\mathscr{B}$ and for all $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, if $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<\delta$ then $\mu_{X}\left(B / y \triangle B / y^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$.

Then:
(i) Under the additional assumptions of Theorem $2,\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is an $S$-ergodic Markov chain (Definition 3).
(ii) If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is also homogeneous as in Theorem 3, then the chain $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is exponentially convergent (Loève (1963)), that is, there exist $a>0$ and $b>0$ such that, for every $n, m$ in $N, \sup _{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sup _{C \in \S}\left|G_{n}^{m}(x, y, C)-F(C)\right| \leqq$ $a e^{-b m}$.

We now indicate, as corollaries, two important applications of the above results.

Corollary 1 (age-structured populations).
(i) Let $k$ in $N$ be greater than 1 , and $k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}$ in $N$ satisfy $k^{\prime}<k^{\prime \prime} \leqq k$, g.c.d. $\left(k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$. Let $L, U$ in $P$ satisfy $0<L<1, L<U$. Let $X$ be the set of $k \times k$ real matrices of the form

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{1} & \cdots & f_{k-1} \\
s_{1} & f_{k} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cdot s_{k-1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

satisfying $L \leqq s_{j} \leqq 1, L \leqq f_{k^{\prime}}, f_{k^{\prime \prime}} \leqq U$, and $0 \leqq f_{j} \leqq U$ for $j \neq k^{\prime}, k^{\prime \prime}, j=1,2, \cdots, k$. Non-zero elements may occur only in the first row and subdiagonal. $s_{i}$ occurs in row $j+1$ and column $j, j=1, \cdots, k-1$.

For each matrix $x$ in $X$, let $p(x)$ be the vector $p(x)^{\mathrm{Tr}}=\left(f_{1} \cdots f_{k}, s_{1} \cdots s_{k-1}\right) \equiv$ ( $p_{1}(x), \cdots, p_{2 k-1}(x)$ ) in $P^{2 k-1}$. Let $I_{i}$ be the open interval (excluding both end points) of possible values of $p_{i}(x), x \in X$. For example, $I_{j}=(L, 1)$ for $j=$ $k+1, \cdots, 2 k-1$. Define $p(X)=I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \cdots \times I_{2 k-1} ; p(X)$ is an open rectangular parallelepiped in $P^{2 k-1}$ which excludes all the ( $2 k-2$ )-dimensional faces. Let $\operatorname{Int}(X)=\{x \in X: p(x) \in p(X)\} ; \operatorname{Int}(X)$ is the interior of $X$. If the topology on $X$ is induced by the metric $d_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|_{x}$, then $\mathscr{A}$ is the Borel field. Take $\mu_{X}$ to be Lebesgue measure on $P^{2 k-1}$.
(ii) Let $Y=\left\{y \in P^{k}: y(j)>0\right.$ for some $j \leqq k^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left.\|y\|=1\right\}$. $Y$ is of dimension $k-1$. Algebraically, each $y$ in $Y$ is a column $k$-vector with $j$ th element $y(j)$. For $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, define $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=\left\|y-y^{\prime}\right\| . \mathscr{B}$ is the Borel field in $Y$ with typical element $B$. Let $y_{0}, y_{o}^{\prime}$ be in $Y$.
(iii) Let $Z=X \times Y$, with elements $z=(x, y)$; and $\mathscr{C}=\sigma(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$ with elements $C$. (Since $Z$ is separable, $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$.) The product metric $\rho_{z}$ on $Z \times Z$ is $\rho_{Z}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\max \left(d_{x}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
(iv) Define $x y=x \cdot y /\|x \cdot y\|$ where $\cdot$ means the usual multiplication of a matrix (on the left) by a column vector (on the right). (Thus $B / y=\{x \in X$ : $\|x \cdot y\| b=x \cdot y$, for some $b \in B\}$.)
(v) Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}, n$ in $N$, be a Markov chain with sample probability space ( $X, \mathscr{A}$ ). For each $n$ in $N$, let $p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the conditional density of $X_{n+1}$ at $x^{\prime}$ given $X_{n}=x$. Let $p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be jointly continuous in $x$ and $x^{\prime} ;$ let sup $p_{x \in X}$ $\sup _{x^{\prime} \in x} p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<M<\infty$. Assume 'uniform positivity': there exists $\delta, 0<\delta<1$, such that for every $n$ in $N$, there exists $A_{n+1} \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $\mu_{x} A_{n+1} \geqq \delta$ and $\inf _{x \in x} \inf _{x^{\prime} \in A_{n+1}} p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \geqq \delta$.
(vi) Let $x_{1} \in \operatorname{Int}(X)$. Define $Y_{n}(\omega)=X_{n}(\omega) \cdot Y_{n-1}(\omega) /\left\|X_{n}(\omega) \cdot Y_{n-1}(\omega)\right\|$, and $Z_{n}=\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$.

Then the conclusions of Theorems 1,2 and 3 apply. In particular, $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is uniformly weakly ergodic; the age structure $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ converges in distribution; and * the factor by which total population size changes in the interval from time $n$ to $n+1$, averaged over all sample paths beginning from $Z_{1}=z_{1}$, converges as
$n \rightarrow \infty$ to $\lambda=\int_{2 \in z} \int_{x^{\prime} \in X}\left(\left\|x^{\prime} \cdot y\right\| /\|y\|\right) \cdot P_{1}\left(x, d x^{\prime}\right) F(d z)$, where $z \equiv(x, y)$ and $F$ is given by Theorem 3. Moreover, the time-average rate of growth for any particular sample path converges almost surely to $\lambda$, i.e.

$$
\lim _{n} n^{-,} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left\|X_{i+1}(\omega) \cdot Y_{j}(\omega)\right\| /\left\|Y_{j}(\omega)\right\|=\lambda \quad \text { almost surely }(\omega) .
$$

Remarks. We now specify a concrete Markov chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ in order to show that these apparently abstract and stringent conditions are met by a process which is demographically plausible and statistically natural for the analysis of historical data.

Let $T_{1}(a, \cdot), \cdots, T_{2 k-1}(a, \cdot)$, where the parameter $a$ is in $R$, be $2 k-1$ continuous functions with continuous derivatives from $p(X)$ into $R$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{i}(a, p(x)) & =\ln \left(\cdots \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} p_{i}(x) /(k U)\right)-a\right) \\
& =\ln \left(-\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} f_{i} /(k U)\right)-a\right) \\
& \text { for } j=1, \cdots, k ; \\
T_{i}(a, p(x)) & =-\ln \left(\left[\prod_{i=k+1}^{j} p_{i}(x)-L^{i-k}\right] /\left[1-\prod_{i=k+1}^{j} p_{i}(x)\right]\right) \\
& =-\ln \left(\left[\prod_{i=1}^{i-k} s_{i}-L^{i-k}\right] /\left[1-\prod_{i=1}^{i-k} s_{i}\right]\right) \\
& \text { for all } j=k+1, \cdots, 2 k-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\partial T_{i} / \partial p_{i}(x)=0$ whenever $i>j$ and $\partial T_{i} / \partial p_{i}(x)<0$ everywhere on $p(X)$, the Jacobian of $T=\left(T_{1}, \cdots, T_{2 k-1}\right)^{T_{\mathrm{t}}}$. never vanishes on $p(X)$. Hence $T^{-1}: R^{2 k-1} \rightarrow p(X)$ exists, is continuous and has continuous derivatives.

Define the map $S: R^{2 k-1} \rightarrow R^{2 k-1}$ via

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i}(q) & =\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1} q_{j} \odot & & j=1, \cdots, k \\
& =\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2} q_{j}, & & j=k+1, \cdots, 2 k-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q^{\mathrm{Tr}_{r}}=\left(q_{1}, \cdots, q_{2 k-1}\right)$ and $S^{\mathrm{Tr}_{r}}=\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{2 k-1}\right)$.
Define $H: \operatorname{Int}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Int}(X)$ as acting identically to $T^{-1}(b, S \circ T(a, \cdot))$ on $p(X)$.

Then let $p_{n}(\cdot, \cdot)=p(\cdot, \cdot)$ where, for $x, x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Int}(X)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} p\left(x^{\prime}-H(x)\right)^{\mathrm{Tr}} \cdot V^{-1} \cdot p\left(x^{\prime}-H(x)\right)\right\}}{\int_{x^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{In}(X)}} \frac{\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} p\left(x^{\prime \prime}-H(x)\right)^{\mathrm{Tr}} \cdot V^{-1} \cdot p\left(x^{\prime \prime}-H(x)\right)\right\} d x^{\prime \prime}}{} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, if $X_{n}(\omega)=x$, let $X_{n+1}(\omega)=H(x)+\varepsilon$, where $p(\varepsilon)$, the vector containing the possibly non-zero elements of the matrix $\varepsilon$, has a truncated
multivariate normal distribution. The truncation excludes all values of $H(x)+\varepsilon$ falling outside of $\operatorname{Int}(X)$; the untruncated normal distribution of $p(\varepsilon)$ has means 0 and a non-singular matrix $V$ of finite variances and covariances (e.g., but not necessarily, $\boldsymbol{V}=\sigma^{2} I$ where $0<\sigma^{2}<\infty$ ).

The transformation $H$ may be interpreted as follows: $\sum_{i=1}^{i} p_{i}(x)$ is (except for mortality adjustments required by the discrete time interval) the cumulated fertility through the $j$ th age category, and $\sum_{i=1}^{j} p_{i}(x) /(k U)$ is cumulated fertility as a fraction of the maximum possible gross rate of reproduction. If the age categories correspond to age intervals of equal width and if cumulated fertility is described by the Gompertz distribution (Brass (1974), p. 552), then, for appropriate choice of constant $a, T_{j}(a, p(x))$ is linear in $j, j=1, \cdots, k$. $T_{j}(a, p(x)), j=k+1, \cdots, 2 k-1$ are logit transformations of the probabilities $\prod_{i=1}^{j-k} s_{i}$ of surviving from birth to age category $j-k$.

Empirical studies reviewed by Brass (1974) suggest that short-term variation in age-specific fertility can be represented as a single linear transformation of the Gompertz-transformed rates, and similarly for the logit-transformed age-specific survival rates. The choice of $a, b, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, i=1,2$, is determined by examination of particular data. When $a=b, \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=0, \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=1, H$ is the identity map.

The transformation $H$ simply rearranges the elements of any $x$ into a vector, takes the Gompertz transform of the cumulated fertility and the logit transform of the cumulated survival described by $x$, applies a linear transform to each, and inversely transforms the result to an element $H(x)$ of $\operatorname{lnt}(X)$.

Corollary 2 (Markov chains with random transition matrices). (i) Let $k>1$, $k$ in $N, 0<L \leqq 1$. Let $X \subset P^{k \times k}$ be the set of all $k \times k$ row stochastic matrices $x=(x(i, j)), \sum_{j=1}^{k} x(i, j)=1$, such that $x$ is irreducible and aperiodic and that for any rows $i_{1}, i_{2}$ there is a column $j$ such that $x\left(i_{1}, j\right) \geqq L, x\left(i_{2}, j\right) \geqq L$. (The column $j$ is not required to be uniform over $x$ in $X$.) Let $d_{x}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|_{x}$. Let $\theta$ be the metric topology of $P^{k \times k}, \mathscr{A}$ the Borel field, $\mu_{x}$ Lebesgue measure in Euclidean space of dimension $k(k-1)$.
(ii) Let $Y=\left\{y \in P^{k}:\|y\|=1\right\}, d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=\left\|y-y^{\prime}\right\|$ for any $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$. Interpret each $y$ algebraically as a row $k$-vector. $y_{0}$ in $Y$ is arbitrary; $\mathscr{B}$ is the Borel field in $Y$ with typical element $B ; \mu$ is Lebesgue measure in Euclidean ( $k-1$ )-space.
(iii) $Z=X \times Y$ with elements $z=(x, y)$; and $\mathscr{C}=\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$ with elements $C$.
(iv) Define $x y=y \cdot x$ where $\cdot$ means multiplication of a row vector (on the left) by a matrix (on the right).
(v) Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}, n$ in $N$, be a Markov chain with sample probability space $(X, \mathscr{A})$. For each $n$ in $N$, let $p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the conditional density of $X_{n+1}$ at $x^{\prime}$ given $X_{n}=x$. Let $p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be jointly continuous in $x$ and $x^{\prime}$; let sup $p_{x \in X}$ $\sup _{x^{\prime} \in x} p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<M<\infty$. Assume uniform positivity (defined in Corollary 1).
(vi) Choose any $x_{1} \in X$. Let $Y_{0}(\Omega)=y_{0}$ and $X_{1}(\Omega)=x_{1}$. For $n>0$, let $Y_{n}(\omega)=Y_{n-1}(\omega) \cdot X_{n}(\omega), Z_{n}=\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$.

Then, interpreting the notation as defined above, Theorems 1,2 and 3 apply.
Remarks. Except for the uniform lower bound $L$, the set $X$ in Corollary 2 is the class of 'scrambling matrices' defined by Hajnal ((1958), p. 235). The Markov matrices independently studied by Takahashi ((1969), p. 438, his Lemma 7) are special scrambling matrices. $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a special kind of ergodic set (Definition 4 below, due to Hajnal (1976)).

Hajnal ((1956), pp. 76-77) suggests the possibility of studying nonhomogeneous Markov chains whose transition matrices are determined by a stochastic process. Corollary 2 may be viewed as one interpretation of that suggestion.

Takahashi (1969), in another possible interpretation which is apparently independent of Hajnal (1956), (1958), assumes that $X_{n}, X_{m}(m \neq n)$ are independently (though, in his Theorem 8, p. 441, not necessarily identically) distributed in the set of all stochastic $k \times k$ matrices, and finds conditions on the distributions which imply almost sure uniform contraction: for all $\varepsilon>0$, all $\delta>0$, and any two probability row $k$-vectors $y_{0}, y_{0}^{\prime}$ in $Y$ as defined in Corollary 2 , there exists $n_{0}$ in $N$ such that for $n \geqq n_{0}$,

$$
P\left[\left\|y_{0} X_{1}(\omega) \cdots X_{n}(\omega)-y_{o}^{\prime} X_{1}(\omega) \cdots X_{n}(\omega)\right\|<\varepsilon\right]>1-\delta .
$$

The same sequence of stochastic matrices is applied to $y_{0}^{\prime}$ as to $y_{0}$; hence this result establishes an almost sure version of the sure condition, which Hajnal (1958) calls ergodicity in the weak sense, assumed in our Theorem 2. Hybrids of our Corollary 2 and Takahashi's Theorem 8 can be imagined.

Definition 4 (Hajnal (1976)). An ergodic set $H(s, g, r)$ is a set of $s \times s$ non-negative square matrices with at least one positive element in each row and in each column such that any product of $g$ factors which are members of $H(s, g, r)$ is positive (i.e., every element of the product is positive and finite) and such that for each $h$ in $H(s, g, r), \min ^{+}(h) / \max ^{+}(h)>r>0$. Here $\min ^{+}(h)$ and $\max ^{+}(h)$ are the smallest and largest of the positive elements of $h ; s$ and $g$ are in $N, r>0$ is in $P$.

The sets $X$ defined in the preceding corollaries are ergodic sets if $k^{\prime \prime}=k$ in Corollary 1. Hajnal (1976) describes many more examples.

Corollary 3 (finite ergodic sets of operators). Let $X=H_{1}\left(s_{1}, g_{1}, r_{1}\right)$ be an ergodic set containing $s_{2}$ distinct members ( $s_{2}$ finite) labelled $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{s_{2}}$, and let $S=H_{2}\left(s_{2}, g_{2}, r_{2}\right)$ be an ergodic set each of whose members is stochastic. (This means that if the elements of $t$ in $S$ are $t(i, j)$, then $\sum_{j=1}^{j 2} t(i, j)=1, i=1, \cdots, s_{2}$ ) Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinite sequence, with repetitions possible, of members of $S$, and let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Markov chain with state space $X$ such that $P\left[X_{n+1}=\right.$ $\left.x_{j} \mid X_{n}=x_{i}\right]=t_{n}(i, j)$. Let $Y$ be the set of all positive column $s_{1}$-vectors with elements which sum to 1 .

Define $\mathscr{B}$ to be the family of all Borel sets $B$ in $Y$. Define $B / y=$ $\{x \in X: x \cdot y /\|x \cdot y\| \in B\}$. Let $Z=\{(x, y): x \in X, y \in Y\}$ and let $\mathscr{C}$ be the set of all sets $C=A \times B$ where $A \subset X, B \in \mathscr{B}$. Let $y_{0} \in Y$. Define $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to be the family of random variables with sample space $Y$ such that $Y_{0}=y_{0}$ with probability 1, and for $n>0, \quad Y_{n}=X_{n} \cdot Y_{n-1} /\left\|X_{n} \cdot Y_{n-1}\right\|$. Let $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}_{n-1}^{\infty}=$ $\left\{\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. For $n \in N, x \in X, y \in Y, A \subset X, B \in \mathscr{B}$, define $G_{n}(x, y, A \times B)=$ $P_{n}(x, A \cap(B / y))$ where $P_{n}(x, A)=\Sigma_{x_{j} \in A} t_{n}\left(x, x_{j}\right)$.

Then:
(i) $G_{n}$ is a regular conditional probability which maps $X \times Y \times \mathscr{C}$ to $[0,1]$.
(ii) $Z_{n}$ is a Markov chain with one-step transition probability function given by $\boldsymbol{P}\left[Z_{n+1} \in C \mid Z_{n}=(x, y)\right]=G_{n}(x, y, C),(x, y) \in Z, C \in \mathscr{C}, n \in N$.
(iii) $Z_{n}$ is uniformly weakly ergodic. In particular, for any two members $y_{0}$ and $y_{o}^{\prime}$ of $Y$, if • means ordinary matrix or matrix-vector multiplication,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n} \sup _{y_{01}, y_{0}>0} \sup _{x\left(\omega_{1}\right), x_{1}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \in X} \mid P\left[X_{n}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \cdots X_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \cdot y_{0} /\left\|X_{n}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \cdots X_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \cdot y_{0}\right\| \in B\right] \\
&-P\left[X_{n}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \cdots X_{1}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \cdot y_{0}^{\prime} /\left\|X_{n}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \cdots X_{1}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \cdot y_{o}^{\prime}\right\| \in B\right] \mid=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) When $t_{n}=t$ for all $n$, then the five conclusions of Theorem 3 follow. In particular, for every positive $y_{0}$, suppressing $\omega$

$$
\lim _{n} P\left[X_{n}=x_{j} \text { and } X_{n} \cdots X_{1} \cdot y_{0} /\left\|X_{n} \cdots X_{1} \cdot y_{0}\right\| \in B\right]=F\left(x_{j}, B\right), j=1, \cdots, s_{2},
$$

where $F: X \times \mathscr{B} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is the limiting joint probability distribution. $F$ is the solution of

$$
F\left(x_{j}, B\right)=\int_{y \in Y} \sum_{i=1}^{s_{2}} F\left(x_{i}, d y\right) t(i, j) I_{B}\left(x_{j} \cdot y /\left\|x_{j} \cdot y\right\|\right)
$$

where $I_{B}(y)=1$ if $y \in B, I_{B}(y)=0$ if $y \notin B$.

## 2. Proofs

### 2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. For $A, A^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A}$, if $\rho\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{x}\left(A \triangle A^{\prime}\right)$, then $(\mathscr{A}, \rho)$ is a pseudometric space which is homeomorphic to a pseudometric space of diameter at most one. An additive vector- or scalar-valued function on $\mathscr{A}$ which is $\mu_{x}$-continuous is continuous on ( $\mathscr{A}, \rho$ ); $A \cup A^{\prime}, A \cap A^{\prime}, A \triangle A^{\prime}$, and $A^{c}$ are continuous functions of $A$ and $A^{\prime}$.

Proof. Kelley ((1955), p. 121) and Dunford and Schwartz ((1958), p. 158).
Lemma 2. $\quad Y_{n}$ is $\mathscr{B}$-measurable.

Proof. $\quad Y_{0}$ is measurable since $\Omega \in \mathscr{F}$. Since $y_{n}=x_{n} y_{n-1}$ is continuous, the compound map $Y_{n}(\omega)=X_{n}(\omega) Y_{n-1}(\omega)$ is measurable. Use induction.

Proof of (i). Regularity means (Loève (1963), p. 137) (a) for every $A \times B$ in $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}, G_{n}(\cdot, \cdot, A \times B)$ is $\mathscr{C}$-measurable; and (b) for every $z$ in $Z, G_{n}(x, y, \cdot)$ is a probability on $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$.
(a) The map from $Z$ to $X \times \mathscr{A}$ given by $z=(x, y) \rightarrow(x, B / y)$ is jointly continuous by assumption. The map $(x, B / y) \rightarrow(x, A \cap B / y)$ is jointly continuous by Lemma 1. The map $(x, A \cap B / y) \rightarrow P_{n}(x, A \cap B / y)=G_{n}(x, y, A \times B)$ is jointly measurable by assumption. Hence the composed map is $\mathscr{C}$-measurable.
(b) Given $(x, y)$ in $Z$, we show that $G_{n}(x, y, A \times B) \geqq 0, G_{n}(x, y, X \times Y)=1$, and $G_{n}$ is $\sigma$-additive. First, $G_{n}(x, y, A \times B) \geqq 0$ since $P_{n}(x, A \cap(B / y)) \geqq 0$. Second, for any $y$ in $Y, X \subset Y / y$ because if $x \in X$ then $x y \in Y$. But also $X \supset Y / y$ by definition. Hence $X=Y / y$. So $G_{n}(x, y, X \times Y)=$ $P_{n}(x, X \cap(Y / y))=P_{n}(x, X)=1$. Third, we show initially that $G_{n}$ is additive on disjoint elements of $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$. Let $A \times B=A_{1} \times B_{1}+A_{2} \times B_{2}$. Then $A_{1} \cap A_{2}=\varnothing$ or $B_{1} \cap B_{2}=\varnothing$. Now for any $y$ in $Y$ and any $B^{\prime}, B^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathscr{B},\left(B^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime \prime}\right) / y=$ $\left(B^{\prime} / y\right) \cap\left(B^{\prime \prime} / y\right)$. In the present situation, letting $B_{1}=B^{\prime}, B_{2}=B^{\prime \prime}$ gives

$$
A_{1} \cap\left(B_{1} / y\right) \cap A_{2} \cap\left(B_{2} / y\right)=\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right) \cap\left(\left(B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right) / y\right)=\varnothing
$$

so that

$$
\left(A_{1} \cap\left(B_{1} / y\right)\right) \cup\left(A_{2} \cap\left(B_{2} / y\right)\right)=\left(A_{1} \cap\left(B_{1} / y\right)\right)+\left(A_{2} \cap\left(B_{2} / y\right)\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{n}(x, y, A \times B)= & P_{n}\left(x,\left(A_{1} \cap\left(B_{1} / y\right)\right) \cup\left(A_{2} \cap\left(B_{2} / y\right)\right)\right)=P_{n}\left(x, A_{1} \cap\left(B_{1} / y\right)\right) \\
& +P_{n}\left(x, A_{2} \cap\left(B_{2} / y\right)\right)=G_{n}\left(x, y, A_{1} \times B_{1}\right)+G_{n}\left(x, y, A_{2} \times B_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by additivity of $P_{n}$ on disjoint elements of $\mathscr{A}$. Finally, to show that $G_{n}$ is $\sigma$-additive, it remains only to show that $G_{n}$ is continuous from above at $\varnothing$ (Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 56, Theorem 3.2 (iii)). Let $A_{i} \times B_{i}, j \in N$ be a decreasing sequence of sets in $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$ with limit $\varnothing$; write $A_{j} \times B_{j} \downarrow \varnothing$. Then $A_{i} \downarrow \varnothing$ or $B_{j} \downarrow \varnothing$ or both. If $A_{j} \downarrow \varnothing$ then $\lim _{j} P_{n}\left(x, A_{j}\right)=0$ for each $x$ in $X, n$ in $N$, and $P_{n} \geqq G_{n}$. If $B_{j} \downarrow \varnothing$, then for any $y$ in $Y, B_{i} / y \downarrow \varnothing$, so $\lim _{i} P_{n}\left(x, A_{j} \cap\right.$ $\left.\left(B_{j} / y\right)\right) \leqq \lim _{i} P_{n}\left(x, B_{j} / y\right)=0$, for any $x$ in $X, y$ in $Y$, and $n \in N$. So $G_{n}$ is $\sigma$-additive.

Proof of (ii). We first show (b) there is a unique extension of $G_{n}(x, y, \cdot)$ from the domain $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$ to the domain $\mathscr{C}=\sigma(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$ and that this extension is a probability on $\mathscr{C}$, for every $x$ in $X, y$ in $Y$; then we show (a) $G_{n}(\cdot, \cdot, C)$ is $\mathscr{C}$-measurable, for every $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$.
(b) $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$ is a semi-ring (Kingman and Taylor (1966), pp. 15, 134). So
(Theorem 3.5 of Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 66) there is a unique additive extension of $G_{n}(x, y, \cdot)$ to a measure (which is also non-negative) on the ring $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$ generated by $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$. This ring is actually a field, so $G_{n}\left(x, y,{ }^{\circ}\right)$ is a probability on $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$. Since the $\sigma$-ring generated by $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$ is actually a $\sigma$-field $\mathscr{C}$ and $G_{n}(x, y, \cdot)$ is bounded on $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$, there is a unique extension of $G_{n}(x, y, \cdot)$ to a (non-negative) measure on $\mathscr{C}$ (Theorem 4.2 of Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 77). The extension is a probability since $G_{n}(x, y, X \times Y)=1$.
(a) Let $\mathscr{C}_{0}=\left\{C \in \mathscr{C}:\right.$ for every $z$ in $Z$, the map $G_{n}(\cdot, \cdot, C): z \rightarrow G_{n}(x, y, C)$ is measurable\}. By (i) $\mathscr{C}_{0} \supset \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$. If $C \in \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$, then $C=\Sigma_{1}^{s} C_{i}, C_{i} \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$ for some finite $s$ in $N$ (Theorem 1.4 of Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 17). For such $C$, by (b) above, $G_{n}(\cdot, \cdot, C)=\sum_{1}^{3} G_{n}\left(\cdot, \cdot, C_{i}\right)$ which is a continuous function of measurable functions and therefore measurable. So $\mathscr{C}_{0} \supset \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$. Finally, if $\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a monotone sequence of sets in $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$, then for each fixed $n$, $\left\{G_{n}\left(\cdot, \cdot, C_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{x}$ is a monotone sequence of measurable functions. So (Theorem 5.4 (iii) of Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 106) $\lim _{i} G_{n}\left(\cdot, \cdot, C_{j}\right)$ is measurable. By continuity of $G_{n}(x, y, \cdot)$ for every $z \in Z, \lim _{j} G_{n}\left(\cdot, \cdot, C_{j}\right)=G_{n}\left(\cdot, \cdot, \lim _{j} C_{i}\right)$. Hence $\lim _{j} C_{j} \in \mathscr{C}_{0}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ is a monotone class containing $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$. Then $\mathscr{C}_{0} \supset \mathscr{C}$ by the corollary of the monotone class theorem (Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 18), or by the $\pi-\lambda$ theorem (Blumenthal and Getoor (1968), p. 5, Theorem 2.2).

Proof of (iii). $\quad Y_{n}$ is $\mathscr{B}$-measurable by Lemma 2. Given $Y_{n}(\omega)=y_{n}$, $Y_{n+1}(\omega)=X_{n+1}(\omega) y_{n}$ by construction. But $X_{n+1}$ given $X_{n}(\omega)=x_{n}$ is conditionally independent of $X_{1}(\omega), \cdots, X_{n-1}(\omega)$ because $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a Markov chain. Thus for $C \in \mathscr{C}, \quad P\left[\left(X_{n+1}(\omega), \quad Y_{n+1}(\omega)\right) \in C \mid Z_{j}(\omega)=z_{j}, \quad j=1, \cdots, n\right]=\boldsymbol{P}\left[Z_{n+1}(\omega)\right.$ $\left.\in C \mid Z_{n}(\omega)=z_{n}\right]$. So $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is a Markov chain.

To show that $G_{n}$ is the one-step transition probability function of $Z_{n}$, by (ii), it suffices to establish that $\boldsymbol{P}\left[Z_{n+1} \in C \mid Z_{n}=z\right]=G_{n}(x, y, C)$ for $C=$ $A \times B \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$. Now $Y_{n+1}=X_{n+1} y$ if $Y_{n}=y$. Then $X_{n+1} \in A$ and $Y_{n+1} \in B$ if and only if $X_{n+1} \in A$ and $X_{n+1} y \in B$ if and only if $X_{n+1} \in A$ and $X_{n+1} \in B / y$ if and only if $X_{n+1} \in A \cap(B / y)$. Hence $P\left[Z_{n+1} \in C \mid Z_{n}=z\right]=P_{n}(x, A \cap(B / y))=$ $G_{n}(x, y, C)$.

For $C=A \times B \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}, F_{1}(C)=\boldsymbol{P}\left[Z_{1} \in C\right]=Q_{1}\left(A \cap\left(B / y_{0}\right)\right)$ by the same argument. The extension of $F_{1}$ to $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$ repeats the argument of (ii).

### 2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for every $n$ in $N$ and $x$ in $X$, there is a density function $p_{n}(x, \cdot)$ determined up to $\mu_{X}$-null sets such that for every $A$ in $\mathscr{A}, P_{n}(x, A)=\int_{A} p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \mu_{x}\left(d x^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. In view of the $\mu_{X}$-continuity assumed in Theorem 1 , the Radon-Nikodym theorem applies.

Lemma 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if there is a finite constant $M>0$ such that, for all $n$ in $N$ and $x$ in $X, p_{n}(x, \cdot) \leqq M$, then $P_{n}(x, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous in $A$, uniformly in $n \in N$ and $x \in X$; that is, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $A, A^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A}$, if $\rho\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)<\delta$ then for every $n$ in $N$ and $x$ in $X,\left|P_{n}(x, A)-P_{n}\left(x, A^{\prime}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

Proof. Choose $\delta=\varepsilon / M$. Then $\left|P_{n}(x, A)-P_{n}\left(x, A^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq \int_{A \Delta A} \cdot M \mu_{X}\left(d x^{\prime}\right)=$ $M \mu_{\mathrm{x}}\left(A \triangle A^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon$.

Lemma 5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, for each $B$ in $\mathscr{B}$, the family of maps from $Y$ to [0,1] given by $\left\{G_{n}(x, \cdot, A \times B): n \in N, x \in X\right.$, $A \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is uniformly equicontinuous; that is, for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every $B$ in $\mathscr{B}$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, if $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<\delta$, then for every $n$ in $N, x$ in $X$ and $A$ in $\mathscr{A},\left|G_{n}(x, y, A \times B)-G_{n}\left(x, y^{\prime}, A \times B\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

Proof. Choose $B$ in $\mathscr{B}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. By Lemma 4, there exists $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that for all $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathscr{A}$, if $\rho\left(A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}\right)<\delta^{\prime}$ then for every $n$ in $N$ and $x$ in $X$, $\left|P_{n}\left(x, A^{\prime}\right)-P_{n}\left(x, A^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$. Now for all $A, A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathscr{A},\left(A \cap A_{1}\right) \triangle\left(A \cap A_{2}\right)=$ $A \cap\left(A_{1} \triangle A_{2}\right) \subset A_{1} \triangle A_{2}$, so $\rho\left(A \cap A_{1}, A \cap A_{2}\right) \leqq \rho\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$. Theorem 1 assumes that, given $B$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, if $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<\delta$ then $\rho\left(B / y, B / y^{\prime}\right)<\delta^{\prime}$. Letting $A_{1}=B / y, \quad A_{2}=B / y^{\prime}, A^{\prime}=A \cap A_{1}=A \cap$ $(B / y), A^{\prime \prime}=A \cap A_{2}=A \cap\left(B / y^{\prime}\right)$ gives $\left|G_{n}(x, y, A \times B)-G_{n}\left(x, y^{\prime}, A \times B\right)\right|=$ $\left|P_{n}(x, A \cap(B / y))-P_{n}\left(x, A \cap\left(B / y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ whenever $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<\delta$.

Lemma 6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$, there exists $l_{0}$ in $N$ such that for all $n$ in $N$, all $l \geqq l_{0}$ in $N, x$ in $X, y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$ and $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{1}$ in $X,\left|G_{n}\left(x, x_{1} \cdots x_{1} y, C\right)-G_{n}\left(x, x_{1} \cdots x_{1} y^{\prime}, C\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{C}_{1}=\left\{C \in \mathscr{C}:\right.$ for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $l_{0}$ in $N$ such that for all $n$ in $N$, all $l \geqq l_{0}$ in $N$, all $x, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{l}$ in $X$, all $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y, \mid G_{n}\left(x, x_{1} \cdots x_{1} y, C\right)-$ $\left.G_{n}\left(x, x_{l} \cdots x_{1} y^{\prime}, C\right) \mid<\varepsilon\right\}$. First, $\mathscr{C}_{1} \supset \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$. For let $\varepsilon>0$ and $C \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$, $C=A \times B$. By Lemma 5, for this $B$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $y^{*}, y^{* *}$ in $Y$, if $d\left(y^{*}, y^{* *}\right)<\delta$, then for every $n$ in $N, x$ in $X$ and $A^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{A}$ $\left|G_{n}\left(x, y^{*}, A^{\prime} \times B\right)-G_{n}\left(x, y^{* *}, A^{\prime} \times B\right)\right|<\varepsilon$. By assumption of Theorem 2, there exists $l_{0}$ in $N$ such that, for all $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, any $l \geqq l_{0}, l$ in $N$, and all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{1}$ in $X$, if $y^{*}=x_{l} \cdots x_{1} y$ and $y^{* *}=x_{l} \cdots x_{1} y^{\prime}$, then $d\left(y^{*}, y^{* *}\right)<\delta$. This is the desired $l_{0}$.

Secondly, $\mathscr{C}_{1} \supset \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$. For let $\varepsilon>0$ and $C \in \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$. Again (Theorem 1.4 of Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 17), $C=\sum_{i=1}^{s} C_{j}, C_{i} \in \mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$. By Theorem 1 (ii), $G_{n}(\cdot, \cdot, C)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} G_{n}\left(\cdot, \cdot, C_{i}\right)$. Then there exist $l(j)$ such that whenever $l \geqq l(j), l$ in $N$, then for all $n$ in $N$, all $x, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{1}$ in $X$, all $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, $\left|G_{n}\left(x, x_{l} \cdots x_{i} y, C_{i}\right)-G_{n}\left(x, x_{i} \cdots x_{1} y^{\prime}, C_{j}\right)\right|<\varepsilon / s$, for $j=1, \cdots$, . Choose $l_{0}=$ $\max _{,}\{l(j)\}$. This is the desired $l_{0}$.

Finally, let $\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a monotone sequence, $C_{i} \in \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}), C=\lim _{j} C_{j}$. For $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$, let $\pi C=\{x \in X$ : for some $y$ in $Y,(x, y) \in C\}$. Then $\pi C$ is in $\mathscr{A}, \mu_{X} \pi C$ is defined, and for every $n$ in $N, x$ in $X, y$ in $Y, G_{n}(x, y, C) \leqq P_{n}(x, \pi C)$. Choose $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\mu_{x} \pi C=\lim _{j} \mu_{x} \pi C_{j}$ and for all $n$ in $N, x$ in $X, y$ in $Y, G_{n}(x, y, \cdot)$ is $\mu_{X}$-continuous, there exists $j_{0}$ in $N$ such that for all $j \geqq j_{0}, G_{n}\left(x, y, C-C_{i}\right)<\varepsilon / 4$, uniformly in $n, x$ and $y$, by Lemma 4 . Moreover since $\mathscr{C}_{1} \supset \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$, there exists $l_{0}$ such that for all $n$ in $N$, all $l \geqq l_{0}$ in $N$, all $x, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{1}$ in $X$, and all $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y,\left|G_{n}\left(x, x_{1} \cdots x_{1} y, C_{k}\right)-G_{n}\left(x, x_{1} \cdots x_{1} y^{\prime}, C_{j}\right)\right|<\varepsilon / 2$. Then for this $l_{0}$, whenever $l \geqq l_{0}, l$ in $N,\left|G_{n}\left(x, x_{1} \cdots x_{1} y, C\right)-G_{n}\left(x, x_{i} \cdots x_{1} y^{\prime}, C\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

Thus $\mathscr{C}_{1}$ contains the monotone class generated by $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B})$, so $\mathscr{C}_{1}=\mathscr{C}$ by the monotone class theorem (Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 18).

Lemma 7. If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is any Markov chain on the measurable space $(X, \mathscr{A})$, and if $P_{n}^{m}(x, A)$ is the regular transition probability function from $x \in X$ at time $n$ into $A \in \mathscr{A}$ at time $n+m$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle_{n m}\left(\left\{X_{n}\right\}\right) & =\sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in X} \sup _{A \in \mathscr{A}}\left\{P_{n}^{m}(x, A)-P_{n}^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, A\right)\right\} \\
& =\sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in X} \frac{1}{2} \int_{X}\left|P_{n}^{m}\left(x, d x^{\prime \prime}\right)-P_{n}^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, d x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Loève ((1963), p. 367).
Proof of Theorem 2. Define $\delta\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=1$ if $y=y^{\prime}, \delta\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=0$ if $y \neq y^{\prime}$. Then $\delta\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)=\delta\left(y, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Define $r=[m / 2]=$ the integral part of $m / 2$. We shall always assume $m \geqq 2$. Then $\lim _{m} r=\lim _{m}(m-r)=\infty$. Define $G_{n}^{m}(x, y, C)=P\left[Z_{n+m} \in C \mid Z_{n}=z\right]$ for all $n, m$ in $N$.

For $n, m$ in $N, y_{n}$ in $Y$, define $y_{n, m}\left(y_{n}\right)=x_{n+m} \cdots x_{n+1} y_{n}$ as an explicit function of $y_{n}$ and an implicit function of $x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{n+m}$ in $X$. Then $y_{n, m}\left(y_{n}\right)=$ $y_{n+r, m-r}\left(y_{n, r}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)$. Let $y$ be an arbitrary fixed element of $Y$. Whenever

$$
y_{n+r, m-r-1}\left(y_{m, r}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)=x_{n+m-1} \cdots x_{n+r+1} y_{m, r}\left(y_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
y_{n+r, m-r-1}(y)=x_{n+m-1} \cdots x_{n+r+1} y
$$

occur in the same equation, we interpret the expression $x_{n+m-1} \cdots x_{n+r+1}$ to be the same both times it occurs, so that $y_{n+r, m-r-1}\left(y_{n, r}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)$ and $y_{n+r, m-r-1}(y)$ have a common factor consisting of the leftmost $m-r-1$ elements from $X$.

Now choose $n$ in $N, \varepsilon>0$ and $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$. By Lemma 6, there exists $m_{0}^{\prime}$ large enough that for $m \geqq m_{0}^{\prime}, m$ in $N,\left|\eta_{m m}\right|<\varepsilon / 4$ and $\left|\eta_{m m}^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon / 4$ uniformly in $y_{n}$, $y_{n}^{\prime}$ in $Y$ and uniformly in $x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{n+m-1}$ in $X$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{m, m}= & G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, y_{n+r, m-r-1}\left(y_{m, r}\left(y_{n}\right)\right), C\right) \\
& -G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, y_{n+r, m-r-1}(y), C\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{n, m}^{\prime}= & G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, y_{n+r, m-r-1}\left(y_{n, r}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right), C\right) \\
& -G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, y_{n+r, m-r-1}(y), C\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term in these definitions does not depend on $y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}$ or $x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{n+r}$. $\eta_{n, m}$ and $\eta_{n, m}^{\prime}$ are implicitly functions of $y$, of $x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{n+m-1}$ and, respectively, of $y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}$ but not of $x_{n}$ or $x_{n}^{\prime}$.

Then $G_{n}(x, y, A \times B)=P_{n}(x, A \cap(B / y))$ implies that $G_{n}\left(x, y, d x^{\prime} \times d y^{\prime}\right)=$ $P_{n}\left(x, d x^{\prime} \cap\left(d y^{\prime} / y\right)\right)=P_{n}\left(x, d x^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(y^{\prime}, x^{\prime} y\right)$. The iterated regular conditional probabilities theorem (Loève (1963), p. 137) applied to the Markov chain $Z_{n}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, C\right)= & \int_{z_{n+1} \in Z} P_{n}\left(x_{n}, d x_{n+1}\right) \delta\left(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1} y_{n}\right) \\
& \cdots \int_{z_{n+m-1} \in \mathcal{Z}} P_{n+m-2}\left(x_{n+m-2}, d x_{n+m-1}\right) \\
& \cdot \delta\left(y_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m-1} y_{n+m-2}\right) G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, y_{n+m-1}, C\right) \\
= & \int_{X} \cdots \int_{X} P_{n}\left(x_{n}, d x_{n+1}\right) \cdots G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m-1} \cdots x_{n+1} y_{n}, C\right) \\
= & \int_{x_{n+1} \in X} \cdots \int_{x_{n}+\in X} P_{n}\left(x_{n}, d x_{n+1}\right) \cdots P_{n+r-1}\left(x_{n+r-1}, d x_{n+r}\right) \\
& \cdot \int_{x_{n++1} \in X} \cdots \int_{x_{n+m-1} \in X} P_{n+r}\left(x_{n+r}, d x_{n+r+1}\right) \\
& \cdots G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, y_{n+r, m-r-1}\left(y_{n, r}\left(y_{n}\right)\right), C\right) \\
= & \int_{X} \cdots \int_{X} P_{n}\left(x_{n}, d x_{n+1}\right) \cdots P_{n+r-1}\left(x_{n+r-1}, d x_{n+r}\right) \\
& \cdot \int_{X} P_{n+r}\left(x_{n+r}, d x_{n+r+1}\right) \cdots\left[G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, y_{n+r, m-r-1}(y), C\right)+\eta_{n, m}\right] \\
= & \int_{x_{n+1} \in X} \cdots \int_{x_{n+m-1} \in X} \eta_{n, m} P_{n}\left(x_{n}, d x_{n+1}\right) \cdots P_{n+m-2}\left(x_{n+m-2}, d x_{n+m-1}\right) \\
& +\int_{x_{n+r} \in X} P_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}, d x_{n+r}\right) \int_{x_{n+r+1} \in X} \cdots \int_{x_{n+m-1} \in X} P_{n+r}\left(x_{n+r}, d x_{n+r+1}\right) \\
& \cdots G_{n+m-1}\left(x_{n+m-1}, y_{n+r, m-r-1}(y), C\right) \\
= & T_{1}+T_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T_{i}$ is the $i$ th term on the right. Replacing $x_{n}$ by $x_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\eta_{n, m}$ by $\eta_{n, m}^{\prime}$ gives expressions of identical form for $G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}, C\right)=T_{1}^{\prime}+T_{2}^{\prime}$. Then
$\left|G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, C\right)-G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}, C\right)\right|=\left|T_{1}+T_{2}-T_{1}^{\prime}-T_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leqq\left|T_{1}\right|+\left|T_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\left|T_{2}-T_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leqq$ $\varepsilon / 4+\varepsilon / 4+\int_{x_{n+r} \in X}\left|P_{n}^{r}\left(x_{n}, d x_{n+r}\right)-P_{n}^{r}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, d x_{n+r}\right)\right|$. Since $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is $S$-ergodic, there exists $m_{0}$ in $N$ at least as big as $m_{0}^{\prime}$ chosen earlier so that whenever $m \geqq m_{0}$, the integral on the right is less than $\varepsilon / 2$. Then, whenever $m \geqq m_{\text {m }}$, $\left|G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, C\right)-G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{\prime}, C\right)\right|<\varepsilon$, uniformly in $z_{n}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime}$ in $Z$.
2.3. Proof of Theorem 3. Since $P_{n}=P_{1}$, we abbreviate the one-step transition functions $G_{n}^{1}$ to $G$ and the $m$-step transition functions $G_{n}^{m}$ to $G^{m}$.

Then (Loève (1963), p. 366) for all $n, m$ in $N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+m}(C)=\int_{Z} F_{n}(d z) G^{m}(x, y, C) \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{n+m}(x, y, C)=\int_{Z} G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) G^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right) \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the remainder of this proof, the domain of integration is $Z$.
(i) From (C), $G^{n+m}(x, y, C)-G^{m}(x, y, C)=\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) G^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right)-$ $\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) G^{m}(x, y, C)=\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right)\left[G^{m}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right)-G^{m}(x, y, C)\right]$. Choose $\varepsilon>0$. By Theorem 2, for this $C$ there exists $m_{0}$ in $N$ such that if $m \geqq m_{0}$, $\left|G^{n+m}(x, y, C)-G^{m}(x, y, C)\right|<\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varepsilon=\varepsilon$, for all $n$ in $N$. By Cauchy, $\lim _{m} G^{m}(x, y, C)$ exists and by Theorem 2 , this limit is a function of $C$ only; call it $F(C) . \quad F(C)$ is countably additive by the Nikodym corollary of the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem (Dunford and Schwartz (1958), p. 160), and therefore a probability. To show uniformity in $(x, y)$ for a given $n$ and $C$, choose $\varepsilon>0$, and choose a particular $(x, y)$ in $Z$, say $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. By the result just proved, there exists $m_{0}$ in $N$ such that if $m \geqq m_{0},\left|G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, C\right)-F(C)\right|<\varepsilon / 2$. By Theorem 2, there exists $m_{1}$ in $N$ such that if $m \geqq m_{1}$ then $\sup _{z \in Z}\left|G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, C\right)-G_{n}^{m}(x, y, C)\right|<$ $\varepsilon / 2$. Let $m_{2}=\max \left(m_{0}, m_{1}\right)$. Then for $m \geqq m_{2}$, and any $(x, y)$ in $Z$, $\left|G_{n}^{m}(x, y, C)-F(C)\right| \leqq\left|G_{n}^{m}(x, y, C)-G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, C\right)\right|+\left|G_{n}^{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, C\right)-F(C)\right|<\varepsilon$.
(ii) Setting $m=1$ and applying $\lim _{n}$ to Equation (C) gives $F(C)=$ $\lim _{n} \int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right)$. For any characteristic function $I_{C}$ of a set $C \in \mathscr{C}$, $\lim _{n} \int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) I_{C}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\lim _{n} G^{n}(x, y, C)=F(C)=\int F\left(d z^{\prime}\right) I_{C}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore for any simple functions $f_{i}: Z \rightarrow[0,1], \lim _{n} \int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) f_{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\int F\left(d z^{\prime}\right) f_{i}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$. Since $G(\cdot, \cdot, C)$ is non-negative, uniformly bounded by 1 , and measurable, choose $f_{i}, j$ in $N$, to be a sequence of simple functions increasing uniformly to $G(\cdot, \cdot, C)$ (Kingman and Taylor (1966), p. 104). Choose $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists $j_{0}$ such that, uniformly in $z,\left|f_{j}(z)-G(x, y, C)\right|<\varepsilon / 3$ whenever $j \geqq j_{0}$ and there exists $n^{\prime}$ such that $\left|\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) f_{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\int F\left(d z^{\prime}\right) f_{j}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|<\varepsilon / 3$ whenever $n \geqq n^{\prime}$.

Thus for all $n \geqq n^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right)-\int F\left(d z^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqq\left|\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right)-\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) f_{i}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) f_{i}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\int F\left(d z^{\prime}\right) f_{i}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\int F\left(d z^{\prime}\right) f_{i}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\int F\left(d z^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right)\right|<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(C) & =\lim _{n} \int G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right) \\
& =\int \lim _{n} G^{n}\left(x, y, d z^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right)=\int F\left(d z^{\prime}\right) G\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, C\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) In Equation (B), set $m=1, F_{n}=F$. Then by (ii), $F_{n+1}=F$. Use induction.
(iv) By (iii), if $F_{1}=F$, then the sequence $g\left(Z_{n}\right)$ is stationary. By Theorem 2, it is indecomposable, so that the $\sigma$-field of invariant events is $\{\varnothing, Z\}$. The claim then follows from the stationarity theorem (Loève (1963), p. 421).
(v) Result (i) implies weak convergence of $G_{n}^{m}(x, y, \cdot)$ to $F$ (as $m \rightarrow \infty$ ), which implies (v) (Billingsley and Topsøe (1967), p. 1).

### 2.4. Proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 8. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4 (i) the family of maps from $Y$ to $[0,1]$ given by $\left\{G_{n}(x, \cdot, C): n \in N, x \in X, C \in \mathscr{C}\right\}$ is uniformly equicontinuous; that is, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, if $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<\delta$, then for every $n$ in $N, x$ in $X, C$ in $\mathscr{C}$,

$$
\left|G_{n}(x, y, C)-G_{n}\left(x, y^{\prime}, C\right)\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon>0$. Repeat the argument of Lemma 5 using the uniform equicontinuity with respect to $B$ to establish uniform equicontinuity for all $x$ in $X$ and all $A \times B$ in $\mathscr{A} \times \mathscr{B}$. Extend $G_{n}$ to uniform equicontinuity for all $x$ in $X$ and all $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$ by repeating the argument of Theorem 1 (ii).

Proof of (i). Choose $n$ in $N$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Drawing on Lemma 8, repeat the argument of Theorem 2 without initially conditioning on $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$. The argument then concludes uniformly in $z_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}$ in $Z$ and uniformly in $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$.

Proof of (ii). Every homogeneous $S$-ergodic chain is exponentially convergent.
2.5. Proof of Corollary 1. Uniform positivity. We must confirm that the particular $p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ defined in (A) satisfies the general conditions assumed in the first paragraph of (v) of Corollary 1. First, $p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ in (A) is jointly continuous in $x$ and $x^{\prime}, \quad$ and $p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<M<\infty$. Second, let $\delta^{\prime}=\mu_{x}(\operatorname{Int}(X))=$ $(U-L)^{2} U^{k-2}(1-L)^{k-1}$. Then $\delta^{\prime}>0$. Since $\sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in \ln (x)} d_{x}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\infty, \delta^{\prime \prime} \equiv$ $\inf _{x, x^{\prime} \in \ln (X)} p_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)>0$. So if $\delta \equiv \min \left(\delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}\right)$, uniform positivity is satisfied.

Proof that Theorem 1 applies. The assumptions of Theorem 1 which remain to be verified in the context of Corollary 1 are that (1) $B / y$ is a uniformly continuous function of $y$, and (2) the map $(x, A) \rightarrow P_{n}^{m}(x, A)=\int_{A} p\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}$ is jointly measurable.
(1) Since $\|x \cdot y\| \neq 0$, the set $B / y=\{x \in X: x \cdot y /\|x \cdot y\| \in B\}$ is a continuous function from $Y$ to $\mathscr{A}$ by inspection. ( $Y, d$ ) is a compact metric space. If $\rho\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{x}\left(A \triangle A^{\prime}\right)$, for $A, A^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{A}$, then $(\mathscr{A}, \rho)$ is a pseudometric space. The proof of Theorem 2.4 of Kingman and Taylor ((1966), p. 37) extends to a ránge space which is a pseudometric space so $B / y$ is uniformly continuous. Thus given $B$ in $\mathscr{B}$, for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $y, y^{\prime}$ in $Y$, if $\left\|y-y^{\prime}\right\|<\delta$ then $\mu_{X}\left((B / y) \triangle\left(B / y^{\prime}\right)\right)<\varepsilon$.
(2) The map $(x, A) \rightarrow P_{n}^{m}(x, A)$ is jointly continuous, hence jointly measurable.

Proof that Theorem 2 applies. The assumptions of Theorem 2 which remain to be verified in the context of Corollary 1 are that (1) $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is $S$-ergodic, and (2) for all $\delta>0$ there exists $m_{0}$ such that for all $m \geqq m_{0}$ and for all $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m} \in X$, $d\left(x_{m} \cdots x_{1} y_{0}, x_{m} \cdots x_{1} y_{0}^{\prime}\right)<\delta$.
(1) Uniform positivity is an obvious analog of the generalized Markov condition (Loève (1963), p. 369) for homogeneous chains on general state spaces. A calculation exactly parallel to Loève's shows that $\triangle_{n m} \leqq\left(1-\delta^{2}\right)^{m}$. Thus assumption (v) of Corollary 1 guarantees that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is not merely $S$-ergodic, but is exponentially convergent (Loève (1963), p. 367), even when $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is not homogeneous. Here $\delta \equiv \min \left(\delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as in 2.5 above.
(2) The weak ergodic theorem of demography is proved with elegance by Golubitsky, Keeler and Rothschild ((1975), p. 89). This theorem implies (2).

Proof that Theorem 3 applies. The transition probability density function in (A) is homogeneous. Theorem 3 (v) applies since $Z=X \times Y$ with the metric $\rho_{Z}$ is a separable metric space and $\mathscr{C}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-field.

For $\omega$ in $\Omega$, total population size changes from time $n$ to time $n+1$ by the factor $\lambda_{n}(\omega)=\left\|X_{n+1}(\omega) \cdot Y_{n}(\omega)\right\| /\left\|Y_{n}(\omega)\right\|$. ( $\cdot$ means matrix-vector multiplication.) By construction of $Y_{n}(\omega),\left\|Y_{n}(\omega)\right\| \neq 0$ surely so $\lambda_{n}(\omega)$ is defined, and $\lambda_{n}(\omega)$ is bounded surely by construction. Then $\lambda\left(z_{n}\right) \equiv$ $E_{\omega}\left(\lambda_{n}(\omega) \mid Z_{n}=\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)=\int_{X}\left(\left\|x \cdot y_{n}\right\| /\left\|y_{n}\right\|\right) P_{n}\left(x_{n}, d x\right)$ is a bounded, positive, continuous function of $z_{n}$ which gives the expected factor of change in
population size from $n$ to $n+1$ conditional on $Z_{n}$. If only the initial conditions $Z_{1}$ are known, then

$$
E_{\omega}\left(\lambda_{n}(\omega) \mid Z_{1}=z_{1}\right)=\int_{Z} \int_{X}\left(\left\|x \cdot y_{n}\right\| /\left\|y_{n}\right\|\right) P_{n}\left(x_{n}, d x\right) G^{n-1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, d z_{n}\right)
$$

is the expected factor of change from $n$ to $n+1$. In the homogeneous case, $\lim _{n} E_{\omega}\left(\lambda_{n}(\omega) \mid Z_{1}=z_{1}\right)=\int_{z} \lambda(z) F(d z)=\lambda$.

If $F_{1}=F$, then since $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is a stationary ergodic sequence of random vectors, the sequence of random variables $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is also stationary and ergodic (Breiman (1968), pp. 105, 119), hence $\lim _{n} n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{j}(\omega)=\lambda$ almost surely ( $\omega$ ).
2.6. Proof of Corollary 2. The analog of the weak ergodic theorem of demography required by Theorem 2 is immediate from Lemma 3 of Hajnal ((1958), p. 237).

### 2.7. Proof of Corollary 3.

(i) Using counting measure on $X$ and Lebesgue ( $s_{1}-1$ )-measure on $Y$, the measurability of $G_{n}(\cdot, \cdot, A \times B)$ is immediate, and $G_{n}(x, y, \cdot)$ is obviously a probability. The extension to $\mathscr{C}$ is immediate since $X$ and $Y$ are separable metric spaces.
(ii) By proof of Theorem 1 (iii).
(iii) $P_{n}(x, \cdot)$ is defined in terms of a transition density $t_{n}(x, \cdot)$ which is uniformly bounded by 1 . Theorem 3 of Hajnal (1976) implies both that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is $S$-ergodic (in fact, exponentially convergent) and that the analog of the weak ergodic theorem of demography assumed in our Theorem 2 also holds. Because $X$ is finite, our Lemmas 4 and 5 are trivial, and do not require the continuity assumptions of our Theorem 1. The result then follows by the arguments for Lemmas 6 and 7 and Theorem 2.
(iv) By proof of Theorem 3.
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