Tamoxifen inhibits acidification in cells independent of the estrogen receptor
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ABSTRACT Tamoxifen has been reported to have numerous physiological effects that are independent of the estrogen receptor, including sensitization of resistant tumor cells to many chemotherapeutic agents. Drug-resistant cells sequester weak base chemotherapeutics in acidic organelles away from their sites of action in the cytosol and nucleus. This work reports that tamoxifen causes redistribution of weak base chemotherapeutics from acidic organelles to the nucleus in drug-resistant cells. Agents that disrupt organelle acidification (e.g., monensin, bafilomycin A1) cause a similar redistribution. Measurement of cellular pH in several cell lines reveals that tamoxifen inhibits acidification of endosomes and lysosomes without affecting cytoplasmic pH. Similar to monensin, tamoxifen decreased the rate of vesicular transport through the recycling and secretory pathways. Organellar acidification is required for many cellular functions, and its disruption could account for many of the side effects of tamoxifen.

Breast cancer afflicts 1 in 8 women and in the Western world it is the most common form of cancer in women (1). Most breast cancers depend on estrogen for growth. Tamoxifen, commonly known for its activity as a nonsteroidal modulator of the estrogen receptor, is the most widely prescribed treatment for breast cancer in the United States (2).

Tamoxifen currently is used both for treatment against metastatic breast tumor and for long-term adjuvant therapy after the primary tumor has been removed by surgery (3). In addition, tamoxifen therapy decreases the incidence of primary tumors in the contralateral breast. This and other findings have motivated the widespread use of tamoxifen as a long-term chemopreventative agent against breast cancer (4). Initial results showed that long-term tamoxifen treatment significantly decreased the incidence of breast cancer. However, it also demonstrated a number of serious side effects (5).

Although tamoxifen was discovered as an estrogen receptor antagonist, years of clinical experience and basic science research have unveiled a number of other effects (6). Some effects are proestrogenic and are thought to be caused by the ability of tamoxifen to act as a partial agonist in some tissues. Beneficially, tamoxifen slows the development of osteoporosis and atherosclerotic heart disease and alleviates many symptoms of menopause (7). Yet, there are clinically significant actions of tamoxifen for which the biochemical mechanism still remains obscure. These include: (i) resensitization to chemotherapeutics of both estrogen receptor positive and negative multidrug resistant tumor cells in vitro and in vivo (12, 13), (ii) modulation of several families of secreted protease activities implicated in tumor invasion and metastasis, including cathepsin D, collagenase, and urokinase plasminogen activator (14–16), (iii) modulation of cell adhesion to extracellular matrix (17–19), (iv) modulation of responsiveness and secretion to a number of growth factors (20–24), (v) carcinogenic effects both in vitro and in vivo on the liver and uterus both in animal studies and humans (2, 8), (vi) inhibition of a number of membrane channels and transporters (25–31), and (vii) inhibition of bone resorption (32, 33). The diverse array of effects attributed to tamoxifen suggests the possible existence of a more fundamental mechanism with many downstream consequences.

The results reported in this paper originate from studies on chemotherapeutic resistance in tumor cells. The chemotherapeutic Adriamycin localizes in acidic intracellular organelles in drug-resistant cells but is dispersed throughout the cytoplasm and nucleus in drug-sensitive cells (34–38). Drug-sensitive cells have fewer acidic organelles than resistant cells. Disruption of the pH of acidic organelles in resistant cells with the protonophore monensin, the proton pump inhibitor bafilomycin A1, or the lysosomotropic agent chloroquine both reverses the phenotype of drug distribution and reverses drug resistance (36–38). The observation that tamoxifen reverses multidrug resistance led us to examine its effect on organellar acidification.

In this paper we report that, like monensin and bafilomycin A1, tamoxifen increases sensitivity of drug-resistant breast cancer cells to Adriamycin and causes a redistribution of cellular Adriamycin from acidic organelles to the nucleus. Quantitative measurement of subcellular pH shows that tamoxifen causes alkalization of acidic organelles without significantly affecting cytosolic or nuclear pH. Inhibition of organellar acidification by tamoxifen occurs in all cell lines examined and depends on neither the estrogen receptor nor P-glycoprotein. Furthermore, cells treated with tamoxifen showed the physiological sequela of defective acidification—slowed transport through both the endocytic and biosynthetic pathway.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Bafilomycin A₁, monensin, acridine orange (AO), tamoxifen, and nigericin were from Sigma. BODIPY-transferrin, BODIPY-ceramide, FITC-transferrin, seminaphthorhodafluor (SNARF)-dextran, N-(3-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-amino-propyl)-N-(3-amino-propyl)methylamine dihydrochloride (DAMP), Hoechst 33258, LysoSensor Blue DND167, and FITC-dextran were from Molecular Probes. Adriamycin was from Calbiochem. Concanamycin A was from Fluka. Mouse anti-dinitrophenol (DNP) antibody was from Oxford Biomedical Research (Oxford, MI). Gold-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody was from Amersham Pharmacia.

Cell Culture. Cells were seeded and grown in DMEM containing 10% FCS (phenol red free) in Lab-Tek coverslip culture chambers (Nalge) or on coverslips and maintained in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Human breast cancer cells (MCF-7, MDA-231) and the Adriamycin-resistant lines (MCF-7/ADR, MDA-A1) were obtained from William W. Wells of Michigan State University (East Lansing) and the American Type Culture Collection. Be(2) and Be(2)ADR neuroblastoma cells were obtained from June L. Biedler (Fordham University, New York). The medium for the MCF-7/ADR cells was supplemented with Adriamycin (0.5 μg/ml). Cells were used 3–4 days after plating.

Microscopy. Unless otherwise indicated, all microscopy and treatments were done with medium at 37°C and equilibrated with 5% CO₂. The cells remained stable for many hours, allowing the effects of a variety of media and reagents to be assayed on the same field of cells. For confocal microscopy, 0.5-μm optical sections were taken with an UltraScan Confocal Microscope (Meridian Instruments, Okeanos, MI). In some experiments cells were observed under epifluorescence by using either an Olympus IX-70 inverted microscope and a cooled charge-coupled device camera, the pH probe SNARF was studied with the confocal microscope, the pH probe FITC was excited alternately at 450 nm and 490 nm, and dual emission confocal images were simultaneously recorded with λex = 488 nm, and dual emission confocal images were simultaneously recorded with λex = 488 nm, and dual emission confocal images were simultaneously recorded with λem = 530/30 nm (green fluorescence) and λem = 605/λP nm (red fluorescence).

Organelle-Specific pH Measurements. The pH was measured in selective cellular compartments by targeting ratiometric pH probes to specific organelles as described (38). On the confocal microscope, the pH probe SNARF was studied with λex = 514 nm, and emission was recorded simultaneously by using a 610-nm dichroic with both a 570/30-nm and a 630/λP-nm filter. By using a Nikon epifluorescence microscope with an intensified charge-coupled device camera, the pH probe FITC was excited alternately at 450 nm and 490 nm with λem = 520/10 nm. In each experiment the emission of the dyes were calibrated as a function of pH as described (38). To calibrate the pH for the endosomal system, the chamber was perfused with 150 mM sodium buffers at pH of 5, 6, or 7 containing monensin (20 μM) and nigericin (10 μM) for 5 min before recording the fluorescence. For the pH calibrations of cytosol and nucleoplasm, the cells were incubated in 140 mM potassium buffers at pH 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, and 7.5 containing nigericin (20 μM).

pH in the lysosomes. The pH in the lysosomes was assayed both with light and electron microscopy. For light microscopy, cells were incubated with 10-kDa FITC-dextran (5 mg/ml) (DMEM/Hepes) for 30 min, washed 4× with DMEM/Hepes, and incubated for an additional 90 min to chase the FITC-dextran out of the endosomes and into the lysosomes. They were visualized on a Nikon Diaphot equipped with FITC excitation filters (40). The pH was calibrated as described above. LysoSensor Blue labeling was done exactly as described (38). For electron microscopy, the cells were incubated (±10 μM tamoxifen) with the weak base DAMP (70 μM), fixed, probed with a mouse antibody to DNP (crossreacts with DAMP), reacted with gold-conjugated anti-mouse antibodies, and visualized in electron microscopy as described (41).

pH in the cytosol or nucleus. The ratiometric pH probe SNARF conjugated to dextran was scrape-loaded into the cytosolic compartment as described (38, 42). The 70-kDa SNARF-dextran selectively reported the cytosolic pH, and the 10-kDa dextran reported both nuclear and cytosolic compartments. Confocal optical sections were used to select nuclear emission.

Transport Assays. Transport of transferrin from recycling endosomes to cell surface. Transferrin was used to selectively label the recycling endosomes of cells (38, 43). The fluorescent BODIPY was used as a probe because it is fluorescence insensitive to pH changes in the endocytic pathway. Transport of transferrin was assayed as described (43). Cells growing in Lab-Tek chambers were loaded with 25 μg/ml of BODIPY-transferrin (DMEM/Hepes, pH 7.3, 37°C). After 20 min, the medium was replaced with citric acid buffer (25.5 mM citric acid monohydrate/24.5 mM sodium citrate/280 mM sucrose, pH 4.6) containing 10 μM deferoxamine mesylate and incubated for 2 min at 37°C to remove plasma membrane-bound BODIPY-transferrin. The cells were rapidly washed 4× with McCoy’s 5A medium (20 mM Hepes/50 μM deferoxamine mesylate/100 μg/ml of unlabeled human transferrin) and examined on a confocal microscope (λex = 488, λem = 530) at various time points.

Transport of sphingomyelin from trans-Golgi network (TGN) to cell surface. BODIPY-ceramide labels endomembranes and its metabolic product, BODIPY-sphingomyelin, accumulates within the Golgi compartments (44). When accumulated at high concentrations, BODIPY-sphingomyelin undergoes a green to red shift in fluorescence excitation. Excitation was at 488 nm, and dual emission images were prepared by using the filter set described for AO and a 100× oil immersion objective.

RESULTS

Effects of Tamoxifen on Adriamycin Localization. The cellular distribution of chemotherapeutics was assayed by monitoring the localization of the drug Adriamycin. Adriamycin was chosen because it frequently is used in the treatment of breast cancer, is representative of multidrug resistant-sensitive chemotherapeutics, and is naturally fluorescent. In the drug-sensitive MCF-7 cells, Adriamycin is found diffusely throughout the nucleoplasm and cytoplasm (Fig. L4). In contrast, in MCF-7/ADR cells, Adriamycin is observed to be primarily localized within punctate cytoplasmic organelles in DMEM (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.3) for 25 min, washed in quick succession 3× with DMEM/Hepes and 3× with Hanks’ balanced salt solution/Hepes, and imaged (39).
nuclear fluorescence, indicating that Adriamycin diffused out of the tamoxifen caused decrease in punctate fluorescence in increase in (data not shown). After a 30-min treatment with tamoxifen (10 μM tamoxifen was added after removing external Adriamycin. After removal from the media, Adriamycin decreased slowly in all intracellular compartments (Fig. 2A). However, in the presence of tamoxifen there was a more rapid decrease of Adriamycin in the organelles and an increase of Adriamycin in the nucleus (Fig. 2B). This finding indicates that tamoxifen-induced dispersal of the chemotherapeutic drugs from within the cytoplasmic organelles is sufficient to label the nucleus with Adriamycin.

**Effects of Tamoxifen on Cellular pH.** AO. Because tamoxifen disperses Adriamycin from acidic organelles, experiments were performed to test for an effect of tamoxifen on organelle acidification. AO (41) was used to assay for acidic organelles. It is a weakly basic fluorescent probe that emits green at low concentrations and red at high concentrations. AO accumulates in acidic compartments where it fluoresces. In MCF-7/ADR cells AO produced a red fluorescence in the perinuclear position (Fig. 3B). This finding is similar to what has been seen in nontransformed cells (38, 46). The addition of 10 μM tamoxifen for 30 min produced a decrease of the red AO fluorescence in MCF-7/ADR cells (Fig. 3G shows the same field of cells as in Fig. 3B). This effect also was observed with 1 μM, 2 μM, and 5 μM tamoxifen (data not shown). Similar effects were observed with the protonophores monensin and nigericin or inhibitors of the vacuolar ATPase such as bafilomycin A1 or concanamycin A (38). These findings are consistent with a tamoxifen-mediated redistribution of organelle acidification. The AO fluorescence in MCF-7/ADR cells treated with tamoxifen was similar to its fluorescence in MCF-7 drug-sensitive cells (Fig. 3A) that are abnormal in organelle acidification (36, 38).

The specificity of the effect of tamoxifen on acidification as assayed by AO was tested on other cell lines, including the MDA-A1 (negative for the estrogen receptor, Fig. 3C and H), Be(2)ADR [drug-resistant neuroblastoma cell line that expresses P-glycoprotein (Pgp)], Fig. 3D and I and CHO-K1 cells (whose endogenous levels of Pgp are below the limits of detection, ref. 47, Fig. 3E and J). In all cells, incubation with AO produces red fluorescence within punctate cytoplasmic organelles and in a perinuclear region (Fig. 3C–E). In all of the cell types studied this red fluorescence was substantially decreased after a 30-min incubation with tamoxifen (Fig. 3H–J). Similar results have been observed in freshly dissociated mouse tail fibroblasts cells (data not shown). These data suggest that the effect of tamoxifen on acidification is independent of both the estrogen receptor and Pgp.

**Quantification of pH in specific organelles.** AO is useful as a qualitative assay of organelle acidification. However, it cannot be used to quantify pH nor to selectively assay the pH in specific compartments. It primarily reports acidification within the lysosomes, the most acidic organelle in the cell. In addition, agents that reverse multidrug resistance potentially could affect AO fluorescence distribution by inhibiting active transport of the probe into organelles or by non-pH-dependent processes. Thus, the pH within different organelles was selectively probed and quantified by using the pH-sensitive dyes SNARF and FITC. These dyes can be used to quantify pH and can be conjugated to probes that can be selectively incorporated into specific organelles of the cell.

To selectively examine the pH within the recycling endocytic vesicles, the ratiometric pH probe FITC was conjugated to transferrin (38, 43). The pH within the recycling endosome compartment is 6.1 in MCF-7/ADR cells (Table 1). After addition of 10 μM tamoxifen, the pH shifts to 6.7. These results indicate that the endocytic pathway is one of the compartments whose pH was affected by tamoxifen treatment. These results confirm that the effects of tamoxifen on AO fluorescence are the consequence of a change of organelle pH rather than a direct effect on AO.

To selectively label the lysosomes, cells were exposed for 1 hr to FITC-conjugated to dextran. Dextran enters the cell through endocytosis and are sorted to the lysosomes. After a chase of 1 hr there is no remaining fluorescence within the endosomes. The pattern of dextran loading match that of the lysosomal dye LysoSensor Blue DND167 (data not shown). The pH reported by FITC-dextran in the MCF-7/ADR cells was 5.2 ± 0.1. After incubation with 10 μM tamoxifen, the pH shifted to 6.6 (Table 1).
The effect of tamoxifen on lysosomal pH was further tested with electron microscopic localization of DAMP. DAMP is a weak base that accumulates in acidic organelles. Quantification of subcellular concentration can be determined by using anti-DNP antibodies and gold-conjugated secondary antibodies (41). In the MCF-7/ADR cells, the lysosomes were heavily labeled with gold antibodies, demonstrating that they were acidic (Fig. 4A). The average density of gold particles was 7.02 μm² per lysosomal area. When MCF-7/ADR cells were treated with tamoxifen before incubation with DAMP, the anti-DNP labeling in the lysosomes was substantially reduced to 2.0/μm² per lysosome area (Fig. 4B). Similarly, with monensin treatment, the concentration fell to 0/μm² (data not shown).

The cytosolic or nuclear pH were selectively quantified by loading MCF-7/ADR cells with SNARF-conjugated to either 10-kDa or 70-kDa dextrans, respectively (42). The 70-kDa dextrans remained exclusively cytosolic. In contrast, the 10-kDa dextrans were found both within the cytosolic and nuclear compartment (38). The SNARF-conjugated dextrans are too large to cross membranes and thus are selective markers for the cytosolic and nuclear pH (rather than total cellular pH). By using this method, the mean cytosolic pH was 7.1 ± 0.1 for the MCF-7/ADR cells (n = 13) and MCF-7 pH 6.65 ± 0.4 (n = 16) for the MCF-7 cells (38). Thirty minutes after addition of 10 μM tamoxifen, the cytosolic pH was 0.1 units more alkaline (Table 1). Nigercin, which also reverses drug resistance (36), shifted the cytosolic pH 0.2 units more alkaline over 30 min. None of these agents had a measurable effect on nuclear pH.

**Table 1. Effect of tamoxifen on organellar pH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cytosol</th>
<th>Nucleus</th>
<th>Recycling endosomes</th>
<th>Lysosomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCF-7/ADR</td>
<td>7.10 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Tamoxifen</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>+0.6</td>
<td>+1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The potential effects of tamoxifen were examined on vesicular transport in the endocytic and biosynthetic pathways.

Protein transport through endocytic pathway. Protein transport to the surface from the endocytic pathway was studied by using fluorescent-tagged holotransferrin, which enters the cell through receptor-mediated endocytosis, releases iron in endosomes, and recycles to the surface where it is released to the media as apotransferrin. Cells were loaded with BODIPY-transferrin for 20 min and transferred to dye-free medium. Cell-associated fluorescence was quantified 0, 5, 15, and 25 min later. In MCF-7/ADR cells, 50% of BODIPY-transferrin...
was chased out by 5 min (Fig. 5A). In contrast, in the presence of 10 μM tamoxifen, it took 30 min for the concentration of intracellular BODIPY-transferrin to decrease to 50% of its steady-state level. The rate of transport of BODIPY-transferrin in MCF-7/ADR cells treated with tamoxifen was comparable with the rates of transport measured in the drug-sensitive MCF-7 cells (Fig. 5A). Slowed transport could be the consequence of either a direct effect on the kinetics of vesicular transport, or alternatively, it could reflect a pH-sensitive step specifically in the sorting and transport of the transferrin receptor.

Lipid transport. BODIPY-ceramide has been previously used to monitor the rate of lipid transport through the secretory system (44). Cells were incubated with BODIPY-ceramide, which is taken up and converted into BODIPY-sphingomyelin. The BODIPY-sphingomyelin transiently accumulates within the Golgi and is transported to the cell surface through the normal secretory pathway. The transport of BODIPY-ceramide out of the cell was followed over 2 hr. In MCF-7/ADR cells less than 25% of the sphingomyelin remained associated with the cell surface at 2 hr (Fig. 5B).

DISCUSSION
Tamoxifen has been demonstrated to affect many aspects of cellular and organismal physiology, including activity of secreted proteases, adhesion to extracellular matrix, responsiveness and secretion to growth factors, carcinogenic effects both in vitro and in vivo, activity of a number of membrane channels and transporters, inhibition of bone resorption, sensitivity of tumor cells to chemotherapeutics, and growth of estrogen-receptor-positive breast tumors (33). Tamoxifen usually is administered at a daily dose of 20 mg/kg body weight, and its final concentration in tissue has been reported to be 30 μM (48). Our results demonstrate that at concentrations of 0.5–10 μM, tamoxifen also can have a powerful effect directly on the acidicification of cellular organelles and on transport through the secretory pathway—effects that are independent of estrogen receptors and of any potential effects of tamoxifen on transcription and protein synthesis. Many of the nonestrogen receptor-mediated effects of tamoxifen may be a direct consequence of tamoxifen blocking organelle acidicification. For example, acidification by the vacuolar ATPase is essential for bone resorption (49), and acidification of cytoplasmic organelles affects accumulation of chemotherapeutics drugs (36, 38) and the sensitivity of cells to chemotherapeutics (36, 37). Acidification is a fundamental property of normal cells and is vital to the fidelity of many cellular functions. Critical biochemical functions affected by organelle acidicification include sorting of proteins between the Golgi, cell surface, endosomes and lysosomes, activation of proteases by proteases in the secretory pathway, sialylation of proteins and lipids in the Golgi, and kinetics of vesicular transport. The biochemical bases for some of these pH-sensitive effects have been identified. The mannose-6-phosphate receptor that recycles between the TGN and lysosome requires a vectorial pH gradient through the organelles to sort protein cargo from the TGN to the lysosome. In the absence of the acidicification, the mannose-6-phosphate receptor is less efficient at sorting, resulting in secretion of lysosomal enzymes (50).

Two enzymatic processes in the TGN function optimally at an acidic pH. Enzymatic activation of many proteins in the TGN is optimized at a pH of 5.5 (51), and the pH optimum of the α2–6 sialytransferase is 5.5 (52). The biochemical bases for other pH-sensitive processing steps such as how acidicification affects the patterns and rates of endocytosis and secretion still are unresolved (53–56).

It is tempting to speculate that the pleiotropic effects of tamoxifen are not the consequence of a multitude of mechanisms of tamoxifen action. Instead, many of these effects may be either the direct consequence of tamoxifen blocking acidicification (thereby directly affecting bone resorption or sequestration of chemotherapeutic drugs away from the cytosol or activation or sorting of enzymes) or an indirect consequence of tamoxifen blocking acidicification (as a result of aberrant glycosylation on cell surface receptors or secreted proteins).

A number of studies have demonstrated increased rates of tumors, especially of the endometrium and liver, in both humans and mice treated with tamoxifen. Other studies suggest that tamoxifen may enhance the effects of the carcinogen diethylnitrosamine (57). Many environmental toxins are weak bases. Tamoxifen blocks acidicification of organelles (Fig. 3), resulting in the release of weak base chemotherapeutics from these organelles into the cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. 2). Modifying this secretion-dependent mechanism of drug resis-
tance may impact on the ability of this pathway to cleanse the cell of mutagenic drugs or environmental carcinogens.

Tamoxifen is an effective agent to block the growth of estrogen-receptor-positive breast tumors. However, for the prophylactic prevention of breast cancer it may be prudent to use other inhibitors of the estrogen receptor that do not affect acidification of organelles. An understanding of the biochemical mechanism(s) for the effects of tamoxifen that are independent of the estrogen receptor could contribute to predicting side effects of tamoxifen and in designing screens to select for estrogen-receptor antagonists without these side effects.
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