Touching from a distance
Evolution of interplay between the nuclear pore complex, nuclear basket, and the mitotic spindle
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The nuclear pore complex (NPC) is the sole mediator of bidirectional nucleo-cytoplasmic transport and is also an important scaffold for chromatin organization and transcriptional regulation. Proteomic studies of numerous diverse eukaryotic species initially characterized the NPC as built with a number of remarkably similar structural features, suggesting its status as an ancient and conserved eukaryotic cell component. However, further detailed analyses now suggest that several key specific NPC features have a more convoluted evolutionary history than initially assumed. Recently we reported on TbNup92, a component in trypanosomes of one such conserved structural feature, a basket-like structure on the nuclear face of the NPC. We showed that TbNup92 has similar roles to nuclear basket proteins from yeasts and animals (Mlp and Tpr, respectively) in interacting with both the NPC and the mitotic spindle. However, comparative genomics suggests that TbNup92 and Mlp/Tpr may be products of distinct evolutionary histories, raising the possibility that these gene products are analogs rather than direct orthologs. Taken together with recent evidence for divergence in the nuclear lamina and kinetochores, it is apparent that the trypanosome nuclear functions have major implications for how the trypanosomatid nucleus operates and the evolution of hierarchical nuclear organization.

Introduction

The nucleus and its associated machineries represent the major innovation differentiating eukaryotic from prokaryotic cells. Every nucleus is delimited by a double lipid membrane nuclear envelope (NE) into which NPCs (large structures containing ~30 distinct nucleoporins or Nups) are inserted.1,2 At the heart of each NPC is a well conserved core scaffold, composed of proteins with a protocoatomer architecture shared by many membrane coating proteins,3 that surrounds a central transport channel occupied by a subclass of FG-repeat containing nucleoporins (FG-Nups) are inserted.1,2 At the heart of each NPC is a well conserved core scaffold, composed of proteins with a protocoatomer architecture shared by many membrane coating proteins,1 that surrounds a central transport channel occupied by a subclass of FG-repeat containing nucleoporins (FG-Nups) (Fig. 1). Much of the bulk of FG-Nups is comprised of natively disordered domains rich in phenylalanine-glycine (FG) repeats, which function to selectively gate transport through the NPC by interacting with cargo-carrying transport factors.4 We previously speculated that the FG-Nup/transport factor recognition system is a mechanism designed to accommodate sequence evolution by the thousands of cargo molecules requiring selective transport.5,6 Here, we consider the question of whether other major component structures similarly allow the
NPC to adapt to demands for evolutionary flexibility. In particular, we focus on recent work by ourselves and others on one such major NPC-associated structure, the nuclear basket, composed of filaments that project into the nucleoplasm (Fig. 1).

In the opisthokonts (animals and fungi, including yeast) the nuclear basket is composed of characteristic large (~200 kDa) proteins, termed Mlp1 and Mlp2 (Myosin-like protein 1 and 2) in yeast, and Tpr (Translocated promoter region) in mammals. NPCs use the basket proteins to associate with several nuclear peripheral processes, which extends NPC functionality. In both animal and yeast cells, ample evidence indicates that chromatin residing proximal to the NPC is rendered transcriptionally active by association with the nuclear basket, differentiating these regions from bulk peripheral heterochromatin, although this is complicated by observations that NPCs can also mediate chromatin silencing. Furthermore, there is evidence for specific interactions with promoter and other gene regions of particular subsets of regulated genes, that confers a “memory” of their recent expression state. Moreover, the nuclear basket is a key component for recruitment of RNA processing factors, linking RNA splicing, maturation, proofreading, and export through interactions with the Transcription-Export complex 2 (TREX-2) and transcription by the Spt-Ada-Gcn5-acetyltransferase (SAGA) complex. However, it appears that not all members of these processing machineries are actually found in all eukaryotes, suggesting that alternate or highly divergent machineries are in place in many lineages. This raises the possibility that the basket itself might differ fundamentally between these same lineages.

**Mlp/Tpr Proteins are Broadly Distributed among Eukaryotes**

Although the Mlp/Tpr proteins have been best characterized in yeast and animals (both members of the Opisthokonta supergroup), similar proteins are present in other eukaryotic lineages (Fig. 2). An example is the plant nuclear basket protein NUA (NUclear pore Anchor), which has structural and functional similarity to Mlp/Tpr, suggesting conservation over great evolutionary distance. However, despite the broad taxonomic distribution, no Mlp/Tpr homologs have been found by sequence searches in several of the major eukaryotic groups, such as Alveolates and Kinetoplastids (supergroup Excavata), though poor primary sequence conservation complicates interpretation (Fig. 2). The Mlp/Tpr proteins are distinct from the members of the core scaffold or FG-Nup families in terms of domain architecture, being comprised almost entirely of long stretches of coiled-coil likely facilitating their oligomerization (Fig. 3). Most taxa possess a single Mlp/Tpr homolog per genome, though some lineages have duplicated their genes, so that for example Mlp1/Mlp2 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae arose from a relatively recent (~90 Myr ago) gene duplication event. Similarly, trypanosomes have two nuclear basket proteins, TbNup92 and TbNup110 in Trypanosoma brucei, that appear to be structural and functional analogs of Mlp1/Mlp2 (discussed below), but with clear distinctions. Specifically, TbNup92 (92kDa) and TbNup110 (110 kDa) are significantly smaller than Mlp/Tpr/NUA and the related Drosophila Megator basket protein, all of which are ~200 kDa (Fig. 3). Additionally, TbNup92 contains a breast cancer 1 susceptibility (BRCA1) C-terminus (BRCT) domain, typically found in proteins involved in DNA repair and cell cycle checkpoints. Despite these differences, the functional similarities of these proteins are strongly suggestive of an ancestral origin, likely in the last eukaryotic common ancestor (LECA). Indeed, while TbNup110 appears to be a kinetoplastid-specific protein, orthologs of TbNup92 are present in two other eukaryotic lineages, the Amoebozoa and Heterolobosea (Fig. 2). Remarkably, both these lineages also possess a clear homolog of Mlp/Tpr, such that in these lineages, both types of nuclear basket protein are present.

Two possible explanations exist. The first is that these two classes of proteins at the basket—the TbNup92 type and Mlp/Tpr type—arose through independent events in a pre-LECA organism, forming an elaborate nuclear basket and ultimately sharing functionalities that each type retained as post-LECA sculpting removed one or the other type in most major lineages. Alternatively, both types arose by duplication from a distant, pre-LECA
ancestor; post-duplication sculpting then altered the resulting two types sufficiently to obscure any obvious homology, though basic features of form and function were retained, explaining the remaining similarities noted above. Pre-LECA duplication and divergence of many other NPC components has already been proposed, with evidence being presented that some at least have retained similar functionalities, consistent with this possibility.
To further compare the nuclear basket proteins of various eukaryotes, we looked at their predicted coiled-coil pattern in greater detail (Fig. 3). Discontinuities in, and gaps between, the coiled-coil regions play important structural roles in coiled-coil proteins especially intermediate filaments such as nuclear lamins (another major family of coiled-coil proteins at the nuclear envelope).i While only a small number of breaks in the coiled-coil pattern have been described for lamins, these features are well conserved between animals and amoebae. By contrast, the coiled-coils of Mlp/Tpr proteins appear more interrupted and the overall pattern of these interruptions is quite variable between various eukaryotes (Fig. 3), suggestive of evolutionary plasticity. Interestingly, the gaps between the coiled coil regions in TbNup110 are quite large and the protein apparently lacks the non-coiled C-terminal region present in other nuclear basket proteins, suggesting a distinct conformation (Fig. 3).

The Nuclear Basket and Spindle Checkpoint in Trypanosomes

As well as being NPC components there is good evidence that nuclear basket proteins play additional roles in cell cycle control and spindle morphogenesis. Thus, one of the two paralogs in both trypanosomes and yeast (TbNup92 and Mlp2 respectively), Tpr and Megator all exhibit cell cycle dependent positioning and have spindle-specific roles.ii,iii,iv At mitosis, TbNup92 localizes along the length of the polymerizing spindle microtubules before accumulating at spindle attachment sites (SAS).i,ii

Interestingly, a small but significant population of TbNup110 was also observed at the SAS, suggesting that TbNup92 shares some of its mitotic functionality with TbNup110.iii By contrast, at interphase both localized at the NPC. However, high-resolution imaging reveals that TbNup92 is juxtaposed to TbNup110 and extends into the nuclear interior, suggestive of a peripheral association of TbNup92 with the NPC via interaction with TbNup110.iii This is consistent with observations that TbNup92 interacts mainly with TbNup110 at the NPC, while TbNup110 has more extensive Nup contacts.

Since TbNup92 is unique among known nuclear basket proteins in possessing a BRCT domain, we assessed the role of this domain in cell cycle-dependent positioning of TbNup92, especially at mitosis. By eliminating the BRCT domain from one allele of TbNup92 (TbNup92tr), we recorded decreased proliferation combined with delays in G/S and mitosis progression.v

Concurrently, deletion of the BRCT domain prevented the resulting TbNup92tr protein from distributing around the nuclear periphery and delayed migration to the SAS at mitosis,v though this truncation did not prevent its interaction with TbNup110 (see below, Fig. 4A and E). Moreover, the localization of TbNup110 does not rely on TbNup92 and is unaffected in cells expressing a single copy of TbNup92tr with the second allele knocked out (TbNup92tr-KO) or in a TbNup92 null cell line (TbNup92Δ) which surprisingly, are viable.vi The TbNup92Δ cells exhibited severe proliferative defects, which mirrored an RNA interference knockdown phenotype in impacting progress through G/S phase and chromosome segregation, possibly through improper formation of the spindle microtubules or the SAS.v

Intracellular spindle pole bodies (SPBs) accumulate in Mlp2 knockouts,vii whereas Tpr directly influences chromosome migration to the spindle poles, through interaction with dynemin and dyactin.viii Tpr and Mlps are required for the recruitment of the checkpoint proteins Mad1 and Mad2 to the kinetochores at mitosis,ix,x but in trypanosomes, only a Mad2 ortholog exists and surprisingly localizes to the basal body rather than inside the nucleus, a further example of the distinct nature of the biology at the trypanosome nuclear basket.xi Taken together, our data suggest that TbNup92 and Mlp/Tpr/Megator likely share roles in the correct construction of the mitotic spindle/SPB and are required for the correct migration of chromosomes to the poles at anaphase, but not as anchors for canonical mitotic checkpoint proteins. Delays in telomere separation to the poles point toward a role for TbNup92 at the metaphase-anaphase checkpoint. Unfortunately, the absence of cytological markers for the SAS/SPB in trypanosomes currently prevents a detailed analysis of the SAS itself. In contrast to BRCT domain-dependent interphase positioning, the coiled-coil domain of TbNup92 is important for SAS targeting, indicating that in addition to a likely structural role, the coiled-coil region contains sites for the regulated association of this protein to the spindle.

Phosphorylation is one key regulator of protein localization and several metazoan Nups are preferentially phosphorylated at mitosis to facilitate NPC and NE disassembly.ii,iv Four serine phosphorylation sites (S57, S58, S61, S62) in the N-terminal portion of TbNup92 have been detected by mass spectrometry in a recent study of the T. brucei phosphoproteome.xiv We tested the importance of phosphorylation by serine to alanine conversion on all four sites (TbNup92S4) (Fig. 4A). These mutations had no impact on the targeting of TbNup92 to the SAS or NPC, nor did they affect proliferation or chromosome ploidy (Fig. 4B-D), indicating that we have yet to find the regulatory mechanism for its site-specific functions or that associations with wild type TbNup92 is sufficient to faithfully target TbNup92S4.

A Minor Role for TbNup92 in RNA Processing

Trypanosomes have rather unusual mechanisms for controlling gene expression, possibly a reflection of early divergence that places them close to the euakaryotic root.xii,xiv The trypanosome genome contains ~8000 intron-free protein-coding genes, organized into directional polycistronic transcription units (PTUs).xv,xvi Each gene lacks an individual promoter but transcription start and stop sites are present for each PTU. Most PTUs are transcribed by RNA polymerase II into long polycistronic transcripts of functionally unrelated genes, and resolution of individual mRNAs occurs by trans-splicing and subsequent polyadenylation. Regulation of trypanosome gene expression relies heavily on mRNA turnover, as reflected...
by the expansion of genes encoding for RNA binding in the trypanosome genome.\textsuperscript{35,36} It is widely held that the majority of gene expression control is mediated via RNA-binding proteins acting on mRNA,\textsuperscript{39} although the gene order in PTUs contributes somewhat to regulation during the trypanosome cell cycle.\textsuperscript{40} With these considerations, we initiated a structure-function analysis of trypanosome nuclear basket proteins, because of their possible roles in mRNA surveillance, preventing unspliced or partially spliced polyadenylated RNA molecules from export to the cytoplasm.\textsuperscript{2}

Significantly, TbNup92\textsubscript{Δ} cells differentially expressed genes with GO terms associated with RNA turnover, raising the possibility that TbNup92 could associate with a subset of RNA-binding proteins.\textsuperscript{17} Interestingly, a group of RNA helicases and splicing proteins co-purify with the TbNup92tr (lacking the BRCT domain) in an affinity isolation experiment performed under mild buffer conditions (Fig. 4E). Although the redistribution of TbNup92tr to the NPC is impaired, it remains localized to the nuclear periphery in a single focal point.\textsuperscript{17} It is unclear why relocalization should stabilize the interaction of TbNup92 with RNA processing proteins; nevertheless, this complex is potentially consistent with a role in mRNA processing at the nuclear basket, despite the highly divergent mechanisms of transcriptional control in trypanosomatids.

**Distant Connections through Ancient Evolution**

It seems that the functions of TbNup92 and its orthologs show many of the characteristics associated with Mlp/Tpr in Opisthokonts. However, the present evidence highlights the possibility that the mechanisms underpinning these functions may be somewhat distinct. Specifically, TbNup92 is more of an analog of Mlp/Tpr, rather than an ortholog, indicating a distinct evolutionary history that may either be quite separate or connected only by a very ancient common ancestor. There are additional examples of analogs functioning in otherwise conserved nuclear processes in trypanosomes. These include the lamina, which in mammals and amoeba is comprised of lamins but in trypanosomes has the NUP-1 protein as a major constituent.\textsuperscript{41} Similarly, kinetochores consist of 19 proteins in trypanosomes with no apparent similarity to kinetochore systems of non-trypanosomatid taxa.\textsuperscript{42} Do these examples represent distinct systems that evolved in parallel in LECA, with one or the other analog being subsequently lost in a lineage-specific manner? Or, does sequence divergence simply mask the deep
underlying structural, evolutionary, and functional relationships? It is too early to discriminate between these possibilities, but the coincidence of baroque examples in trypanosomes provides a compelling case for digging deeply into these divergent organisms, to unravel the origins and scope of eukaryotic diversity and shed light on the mechanistic principles underlying the cellular machinery.

Animals and plants distinguish themselves from other eukaryotic kingdoms during mitosis by completely disassembling their NE. In these organisms, only one nuclear basket protein exists (Tpr/Megator/NUA). Most other eukaryotes retain their NE during mitosis. For them, the spindle is to some degree associated with the NE, and so may requires some NE associated structure, perhaps explaining the presence of a second spindle associated nuclear basket protein. We see many examples of proteins that at different times are in the NPC, the spindle, or both. Nuclear basket proteins shuttle between the spindle and NPC, including other Nups that also associate with the spindle organizer, and spindle checkpoint proteins are found at the NPC during interphase. Perhaps the NPC and SPB have a common evolutionary origin, and have retained the coordinated structural and functional interplay, though now only “touching from a distance.”
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