Human Cytomegalovirus Protein UL38 Inhibits Host Cell Stress Responses by Antagonizing the Tuberous Sclerosis Protein Complex
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SUMMARY

Human cytomegalovirus proteins alter host cells to favor virus replication. These viral proteins include pUL38, which prevents apoptosis. To characterize the mode of action of pUL38, we modified the viral genome to encode an epitope-tagged pUL38 and used rapid immunopurification to isolate pUL38-interacting host proteins, which were then identified by mass spectrometry. One of the cellular proteins identified was TSC2, a constituent of the tuberous sclerosis tumor suppressor protein complex (TSC1/2). TSC1/2 integrates stress signals and regulates the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), a protein complex that responds to stress by limiting protein synthesis and cell growth. We showed that pUL38 interacts with TSC1 and TSC2 in cells infected with wild-type cytomegalovirus. Furthermore, TSC1/2 failed to regulate mTORC1 in cells expressing pUL38, and these cells exhibited the enlarged size characteristic of cytomegalovirus infection. Thus, pUL38 supports virus replication at least in part by blocking cellular responses to stress.

INTRODUCTION

Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) is a member of the β-herpesvirus family. Infections in healthy children and adults are generally asymptomatic, but the virus causes life-threatening disease in immunologically immature or compromised individuals (reviewed in Mocarski et al., 2007). Congenital HCMV infection is the leading viral cause of birth defects, and neonates can suffer serious complications following infection. HCMV is a major contributor to morbidity and mortality in allogeneic transplant recipients and AIDS patients.

The HCMV genome contains >200 open reading frames, although many have not been demonstrated to encode proteins (Murphy et al., 2003a, 2003b). Upon infection of a permissive cell, HCMV expresses its genes in a regulated cascade; immediate-early genes are expressed first, followed by early and then late genes. The UL38 transcription unit is first expressed during the early phase of infection (reviewed in Mocarski et al., 2007). A mutant virus lacking pUL38 induces apoptosis after infection, producing reduced levels of viral progeny (Terhune et al., 2007). The mechanism by which pUL38 blocks apoptosis and facilitates HCMV growth is unknown. A BLAST search of pUL38 reveals no sequence homology to cellular proteins, and more sophisticated searches for functional homologies also failed to provide compelling hints to its mode of action (Novotny et al., 2001; Rigoutsos et al., 2003).

To probe the role of pUL38, we screened for proteins that interact with it. We used a mutant virus, expressing an epitope-tagged pUL38 protein from its normal context in the viral genome, coupled with a rapid one-step immunopurification and mass spectrometry to identify interacting proteins. This combination of proteomics and genetics identified multiple viral and cellular proteins likely to interact with pUL38, one of which is TSC2, also known as tuberin.

TSC2 and TSC1 (hamartin) interact to form the tuberous sclerosis protein complex (TSC1/2), and mutations in either subunit are linked to the development of tuberous sclerosis, a recessive disorder that is characterized by tumors in multiple organs (reviewed in Crino et al., 2006). TSC1/2 is regulated by multiple signaling pathways (reviewed in Kwiatkowski and Manning, 2005). Growth factors activate Akt and RSK1, which phosphorylate TSC2 and block its activity. Stress activates AMP kinase (AMPK), which phosphorylates TSC2 and activates it. When the TSC1/2 complex is activated, TSC2 functions as a GTPase-activating protein for Rheb, a GTP-binding protein that activates the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), mTORC1 is comprised of at least three subunits, mTOR serine-threonine kinase, raptor, and gβL, and it regulates cell growth in response to growth factors and nutrient availability. mTORC1 controls cell growth by modulating multiple processes, including protein synthesis, ribosome biogenesis, and autophagy (reviewed in Sarbassov et al., 2005a). Thus, TSC1/2 interprets signals from multiple inputs, and when activated, it is a negative regulator of mTORC1 and thereby inhibits cellular growth.

We confirmed the interaction of pUL38 with the tumor suppressor protein complex and demonstrated that the viral protein antagonizes the ability of TSC1/2 to negatively regulate mTORC1. Thus, pUL38 blocks a growth regulatory pathway to facilitate viral replication.
RESULTS

Identification of Candidate pUL38-Interacting Partners
To identify cellular and viral proteins that interact with pUL38 in the context of infection, we created a viral mutant, BADinUL38TAP, which expresses from its normal location on the viral genome the pUL38 protein fused to immunoglobulin-binding domains of protein A and a calmodulin-binding peptide (TAP) at its carboxyl terminus, pUL38TAP (Figure 1A, left). Mutations disrupting the UL38 ORF result in attenuated virus replication with a rapid onset of apoptosis (Terhune et al., 2007). BADinUL38TAP replicated to wild-type levels (Figure 1A, right), and pUL38TAP displayed a similar localization as observed for untagged pUL38 when assayed by immunofluorescence (Figure 1B). Tagged pUL38 (from BADinUL38TAP) and untagged pUL38 (from BADWT) were found in both the cytoplasm and nucleus at 24 hr after infection. The pUL38 TAP fusion does not disrupt the localization or an essential role of pUL38 in HCMV-infected fibroblasts.

At 24 hr postinfection with BADinUL38TAP, pUL38TAP and associated proteins were isolated from cell extracts. By capturing complexes from cells infected with the HCMV variant, it was possible to identify pUL38-interacting proteins under conditions where pUL38 is expressed with proper kinetics and at normal levels. Isolations were performed via the protein A tag, using a rapid one-step immunoaffinity purification on magnetic beads coated with IgG (Cristea et al., 2005, 2006). pUL38TAP was efficiently captured with little of the fusion protein remaining in the insoluble fraction (data not shown). Isolated viral and host proteins were resolved by electrophoresis, stained with Coomassie blue, and identified by mass spectrometry. The major protein bands evident in the polyacrylamide gel and illustrative data from sequential MALDI QqTOF MS and MALDI IT MS/MS analysis for one of the identified cell proteins, TSC2, are displayed in Figure 1C. The full set of identified proteins is presented in Table S1 (available online).

Two HCMV proteins were identified in the capture experiment (Table S1). The first was pUL52, and the second migrated at ~70 kDa (Figure 1C). The latter contained amino acid segments from the adjacent UL29 and UL28 ORFs. NetGene2 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetGene2/; Brunak et al., 1991) predicted a splice donor/acceptor motif that would generate a mRNA encoding a UL29/28 protein of 701 amino acids, consistent with its electrophoretic migration. The product of UL52 is essential, while the UL29 and 28 ORFs augment HCMV replication in fibroblasts (Yu et al., 2003). However, their functions are unknown, and we have not investigated the consequences of their predicted interactions with pUL38.

Numerous cellular proteins were identified in the capture experiment (Figure 1C and Table S1). Although their capture suggests that pUL38 might influence multiple cell functions, we have so far confirmed only one of these interactions. The list, therefore, comprises potential pUL38-interacting proteins. It is intriguing that six subunits of the nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylation (NuRD) complex were among the proteins captured by pUL38TAP: Mi-2δ, MTA1 and 2, HDAC1 and 2, and RbAp48/46 (Figure 1C). The NuRD complex includes histone deacetylases and chromatin-remodeling ATPases, which repress transcription (Bowen et al., 2004). It is possible that pUL38 antagonizes NuRD to optimize expression of the viral genome. The HCMV immediate-early 1 (Nevels et al., 2004) and...
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Characterization of the pUL38 Interaction with TSC2 and TSC1

To confirm the putative pUL38–TSC2 interaction, we reversed the capture process used in the pUL38TAP immunopurification, and used antibodies specific for cellular proteins to test for coimmunoprecipitation of pUL38 from wild-type virus-infected cell extracts. A TSC2-specific antibody coprecipitated pUL38 from infected cells, but not mock-infected cells (Figure 2A, top panel). No pUL38 was detected after immunoprecipitation from the infected cell extract with preimmune IgG, and the use of wild-type virus ruled out a nonspecific interaction of TSC2 with the TAP component of pUL38TAP. The thickness of the pUL38 band detected in Figure 2A suggested that multiple species might be present, so the analysis was repeated using a higher-resolution electrophoresis protocol (Figure 2B). Three pUL38-specific bands were evident, corresponding to proteins of approximately 33, 35, and 37 kDa. All three isoforms are found in cells expressing only pUL38 (Figure 4A), indicating that the three species are specific to the UL38 ORF. We do not yet know the origin of the three species but note that there are three in-frame AUG codons that could code for proteins this size, and there is precedent in HCMV for use of multiple in-frame starts to test for coimmunoprecipitation of pUL38 from wild-type virus-infected cell extracts. A TSC2-specific antibody coprecipitated pUL38 from infected cells, but not mock-infected cells (Figure 2A, top panel). No pUL38 was detected after immunoprecipitation from the infected cell extract with preimmune IgG, and the use of wild-type virus ruled out a nonspecific interaction of TSC2 with the TAP component of pUL38TAP. The thickness of the pUL38 band detected in Figure 2A suggested that multiple species might be present, so the analysis was repeated using a higher-resolution electrophoresis protocol (Figure 2B). Three pUL38-specific bands were evident, corresponding to proteins of approximately 33, 35, and 37 kDa. All three isoforms are found in cells expressing only pUL38 (Figure 4A), indicating that the three species are specific to the UL38 ORF. We do not yet know the origin of the three species but note that there are three in-frame AUG codons that could code for proteins this size, and there is precedent in HCMV for use of multiple in-frame starts within an ORF (Stammeringer et al., 2002). Antibody to TSC2 preferentially coprecipitated the pUL38 37 kDa isoform and to a lesser extent the 35 kDa species (Figure 2B).

TSC2 interacts with TSC1 to form the tumor suppressor protein complex TSC1/2. To determine whether pUL38 also interacts, directly or indirectly, with TSC1, the same set of lysates examined in Figure 2A were subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibody to pUL38 (Figure 2C, top panel). pUL38-specific immune complexes isolated from BADWT-infected cells contained TSC1 protein, and this interaction was found to be specific using the same criteria outlined above for TSC2. A similar experiment demonstrated that antibody to TSC1 can coprecipitate pUL38 (Figure 2D).

To determine whether pUL38 can interact with each of the TSC1/2 subunits independently, 293T cells were transfected with a pUL38 expression vector plus constructs encoding FLAG-tagged TSC1 and/or FLAG-tagged TSC2. pUL38 was coprecipitated with tagged TSC2 but not TSC1 (Figure 2E), arguing that the viral protein does not interact with free TSC1. To further probe the interaction of the viral protein with TSC2, cells were transfected with vectors expressing the indicated proteins, extracts were prepared 48 hr later, and immunoprecipitations were performed by using FLAG epitope-specific antibody.

Figure 2. Confirmation of the Interaction between pUL38 and the TSC1/2 Protein Complex

Fibroblasts were mock infected (M) or infected with BADWT (WT) (3 pfu/cell), or 293T cells were transfected with indicated expression vectors. Results are representative of two independent experiments.

(A) pUL38 coprecipitates with TSC2. Cell lysates were prepared at 48 hr after mock or BADWT infection and subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) using rabbit polyclonal antibody to TSC2 (α-TSC2), control preimmune rabbit IgG (C Ab), or beads with no antibody (No Ab), and precipitated proteins were analyzed by western blot (WB) using the indicated antibodies. As controls, the levels of TSC2 and pUL38 were monitored by western blot assay.

(B) Two pUL38 isoforms coprecipitate with TSC2. To improve the separation of pUL38 isoforms present at 48 hr postinfection, electrophoresis was performed using a larger-format polyacrylamide gel. Left lanes: western blots (WB) were performed on mock-infected and virus-infected lysates using antibody to pUL38; right lanes: western blots were performed after immunoprecipitation (IP) from lysates using α-TSC2 or preimmune rabbit IgG (C Ab).

(C) TSC1 coprecipitates with pUL38. Extracts were prepared at 48 hr after mock or BADWT infection, and immunoprecipitations were performed using antibody to pUL38 (α-pUL38), a nonspecific monoclonal antibody (C Ab), or beads with no antibody (No Ab).

(D) pUL38 coprecipitates with TSC1. Extracts were prepared at 48 hr after mock or BADWT infection, and immunoprecipitations were performed using antibody to TSC1 (α-TSC1), a nonspecific monoclonal antibody (C Ab), or beads with no antibody (No Ab).

(E) pUL38 coprecipitates with FLAG-TSC2 but not FLAG-TSC1. Cells were transfected with vectors expressing the indicated proteins, extracts were prepared 48 hr later, and immunoprecipitations were performed by using FLAG epitope-specific antibody.

(F) pUL38 coprecipitates with GFP-FSC2ΔHBD. Cells were transfected with vectors expressing the indicated proteins, extracts were prepared 48 hr later, and immunoprecipitations were performed by using GFP-specific antibody.

(G) TSC1 and TSC2 coimmunoprecipitate with pUL38. Lysates were prepared at indicated times after mock or BADWT infection (hr postinfection [hpi]), immunoprecipitated using antibody to TSC2, and assayed by western blot using antibodies to the indicated proteins. Lysates were also assayed directly by western blot.
transfected with the pUL38 expression vector plus a vector encoding GFP-tagged TSC2 or a GFP-tagged derivative of TSC2 lacking the TSC1 interaction domain (Goncharova et al., 2004). The deleted TSC2 was, as expected, smaller than the wild-type protein, and immunoprecipitation of the TSC2 variant with GFP-specific antibody coprecipitated pUL38 (Figure 2F). We conclude that pUL38 interacts with the tumor suppressor complex primarily through its TSC2 subunit. A direct interaction with TSC2, but not TSC1, might explain the failure to detect TSC1 in our analysis of pUL38TAP-interacting proteins by mass spectrometry (Table S1). Perhaps the TSC1/2 complex is disrupted during the one-step isolation method.

Since pUL38 can interact with a TSC2 variant lacking a TSC1-binding domain, it is likely that pUL38 does not disrupt the TSC1/2 complex. To verify this prediction, we tested whether normal levels of the TSC1/2 complex were maintained in infected cells (Figure 2G). Cell lysates were prepared after mock or BADWT infection and subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibody to TSC2, and coprecipitated TSC1 was monitored by western blot assay. TSC1 was present in TSC2 immune precipitates at each time assayed after infection. In fact, more TSC1 was found associated with TSC2 at 72 and 96 hpi, consistent with the increase observed in the total amount of TSC1; in contrast, the level of TSC2 remained relatively constant after infection. In a recent high-throughput analysis, TSC1 protein was shown to increase by a factor of 3.1 after HCMV infection (Stanton et al., 2007).

To further investigate the interaction of pUL38 with the TSC1/2 complex, we performed immunofluorescent analysis. Visual inspection of the fluorescent images suggested that TSC1 and TSC2 exhibited substantial colocalization within uninfected and infected cells (Figure 3), and quantitative measurement of the images (Costes et al., 2004) confirmed the colocalization, demonstrating that Pearson’s correlation (r) for colocalization was even greater in infected (r = 0.79) than uninfected cells (r = 0.65). This difference was consistently observed in multiple images (data not shown). Perfect colocalization was evident when a TSC1 fluorescent image was compared to itself (r = 1.0), and little was evident when cytoplasmic virus-coded pUL99 protein was compared to DAPI-stained DNA (r = 0.06). We infer that pUL38 does not significantly disrupt the normal association of TSC1 and TSC2.

pUL38 Blocks TSC1/2 Function, Antagonizing Its Regulation of mTORC1
Since TSC1/2 normally inhibits the mTORC1 kinase under stress conditions, limiting cell size and mass (Sarbassov et al., 2005a), we tested whether pUL38 can release this constraint. Fibroblasts were generated expressing pUL38 (HFF-pUL38) or GFP (HFF-GFP). Initially, we compared pUL38 expression in HFF-pUL38 cells to that in fibroblasts at 48 hr after infection with BADWT (Figure 4A). Western blot analysis demonstrated that similar
amounts of pUL38 and the same variety and relative proportions of pUL38 subspecies were produced in cells expressing the protein as in infected cells. Further, antibody to TSC2 coimmunoprecipitated pUL38 from extracts of HFF-pUL38 cells (Figure 4B, top panel), demonstrating that no additional virus-coded protein is needed for the interaction. TSC2-specific antibody also coimmunoprecipitated TSC1 (Figure 4B, second panel from top), consistent with our interpretation that the TSC1/2 complex remains intact in the presence of pUL38. Western blot assays demonstrated that extracts of HFF-pUL38 and HFF-GFP cells contained very similar amounts of TSC1 and TSC2 (Figure 4B, bottom panels). The failure to modulate TSC1 levels in the presence of pUL38 suggests that one or more additional virus-coded functions is needed for the induction observed in infected cells (Figure 2).

Cell volumes were assayed by measurement of calcein green AM fluorescence in sequential 0.3 μm optical sections through cells, and the average volume calculated for HFF-pUL38 cells was about twice that of HFF-GFP cells (Figure 4C). Further, measurement of forward scatter by flow cytometry confirmed that HFF-pUL38 cells are larger than HFF-GFP cells (Figure 4D). Thus, pUL38-expressing cells were larger than control cells, consistent with the inhibition of TSC1/2 (Fingar et al., 2002).

As noted above, TSC1/2 inhibits the activity of mTORC1 in response to stress. TSC2 is activated when serum is withdrawn from cells, because the loss of growth factors inhibits PI3K-Akt and ERK1/2-RSK1 signaling, which normally block TSC1/2 activity; TSC2 is also activated by the withdrawal of nutrients, because energy deprivation activates AMPK, which then activates TSC1/2 (Figure 7)(Kwiatkowski and Manning, 2005). When activated, mTORC1 phosphorylates the ribosomal protein S6 kinase (p70 S6 kinase) and eukaryotic initiation factor 4E binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) (Sarbassov et al., 2005a). To further evaluate the ability of pUL38 to antagonize TSC1/2, we monitored the activity of mTORC1 in control or HFF-pUL38 cells after nutrient stress. Initially, the phosphorylation of rpS6 at S235/236, a target of the mTORC1-activated p70 S6 kinase, was assayed by using antibodies that recognized total or phosphorylated rpS6 (Figure 5A). Maintenance in medium lacking growth factors for 12 hr induced a modest decrease in total rpS6 in both cell types, and HFF-pUL38 cells accumulated 2.5-fold more phosphorylated rpS6 than HFF-GFP cells. Incubation in PBS (no growth factors, amino acids, or sugars) for 1 hr after the initial 12 hr period resulted in a dramatic reduction in the amount of phosphorylated rpS6 in HFF-GFP cells. In contrast, HFF-pUL38 cells contained near wild-type levels

Figure 5. HCMV pUL38 Is Sufficient to Prevent Inhibition of the mTORC1 Kinase by Stress

pUL38 and tubulin were monitored as controls, and results are representative of two or three independent experiments.

(A) Subconfluent HFF-pUL38 (+pUL38) or control HFF-GFP cells (−pUL38) were cultured with (+S) or without (−S) serum for 12 hr. Some −S cultures were switched to PBS for 1 or 2 hr, after which cells were harvested, lysates were prepared, and protein was analyzed by western blot assay using antibodies specific for rpS6 phosphorylated at S235/236 and total rpS6.

(B) Subconfluent +pUL38 and −pUL38 cells were maintained in serum-free medium for 12 hr, and the medium was replaced with PBS for 2 hr in the presence or absence of Rapamycin (Rapa, 20 nM). Proteins were assayed by western blot using antibodies specific for indicated phosphorylated proteins or that recognize proteins irrespective of phosphorylation state.

(C) Subconfluent +pUL38 and −pUL38 cells were maintained in serum-free medium (−S) for 12 hr, then AICAR (AMPK activator, 5 mM) was added to a portion of the cultures, and cells were harvested 1, 3, or 6 hr later. Assays were as described in (A).

(D) Subconfluent +pUL38 and −pUL38 cells were maintained in serum-free medium for 12 hr, then AICAR with or without rapamycin was added to a portion of the cultures, and cells were harvested 6 hr later. Assays were as described in (A).

(E) Subconfluent +pUL38 and −pUL38 cells were maintained in serum-free medium for 12 hr, then AICAR with or without rapamycin was added to a portion of the cultures, and cells were harvested 6 hr later. Proteins were assayed by western blot using antibodies specific for acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACC) phosphorylated at S79 and total ACC.
of phosphorylated rpS6 after 1 hr in PBS, and the phosphoprotein was still detected, albeit at a reduced level, after 2 hr (Figure 5A). Continued phosphorylation of rpS6 in HFF-pUL38 cells after maintenance in PBS was dependent on rapamycin-sensitive mTORC1 activity (Figure 5B). We extended the analysis to direct targets of mTORC1 and found that phosphorylation of both p70 S6 kinase at T389 and 4E-BP1 at T37/46 was more resistant to a 2 hr incubation in PBS, following 12 hr in serum-free medium, in cells expressing pUL38 as compared to control cells (Figure 5B). Thus, pUL38 alone is sufficient to maintain mTORC1 signaling under stress-inducing conditions.

Phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 T37/46 was more resistant to rapamycin in pUL38-expressing as compared to control cells (Figure 5B). The mechanistic basis for this observation is not clear, but it has been noted that HCMV-infected cells contain a rapamycin-resistant raptor-containing activity (raptor is a constituent of the normally rapamycin-sensitive mTORC1 complex) that can mediate hyperphosphorylation of 4E-BP1 (Kudchodkar et al., 2006). Nevertheless, rapamycin treatment at the start of infection delays the production of virus progeny by about 12 hr and reduces the final yield of virus by a factor of 5–50 in the presence or absence of serum (Kudchodkar et al., 2004). Limiting nutrients induce an increase in the AMP/ATP ratio in the cell. AMP binds to and allows activation of AMPK, which can phosphorylate and activate TSC2 with subsequent inhibition of mTORC1 activity. Thus, AMPK negatively regulates mTORC1 through TSC1/2. AMPK also can be activated by the cell-permeable AMP analog AICAR. AICAR treatment decreases mTORC1 activity and induces cell growth arrest (Corton et al., 1995). We tested the ability of pUL38 to block the inhibition of mTORC1 activity by AMPK, using AICAR to stimulate AMPK activity. Serum-starved HFF-GFP control cells or HFF-pUL38 cells were treated with AICAR, and mTORC1 activity was assessed by measuring rpS6 phosphorylation at S235/236. Phosphorylated rpS6 was markedly reduced in HFF-GFP as compared to HFF-pUL38 cells after 3 hr or 6 hr of drug treatment (Figure 5C). The 6 hr AICAR treatment was repeated in the presence or absence of rapamycin (Figure 5D, top two panels). AICAR-induced phosphorylation of rpS6 was sensitive to the inhibitor, confirming that pUL38 preserved the activity of rapamycin-sensitive mTORC1. The concentration of AICAR used in these experiments induced phosphorylation at S79 of a known AMPK target, acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Figure 5E), demonstrating that AMPK was activated by the drug. Further, the level of phosphorylated acetyl-CoA carboxylase was not influenced by the presence of pUL38, arguing that pUL38 does not act at AMPK or upstream of AMPK to influence mTORC1 function. We conclude that pUL38 blocks the negative regulation of mTORC1 by AMPK by inhibiting TSC1/2 function.

A second mTOR-containing complex, mTORC2, phosphorylates Akt at S473 (Hresko and Mueckler, 2005; Sarbassov et al., 2005b). This modification contributes to activation of Akt for efficient phosphorylation of some but not all of its targets (Guetin et al., 2006; Jacinto et al., 2006). Akt S473 phosphorylation is impaired in TSC1/2-deficient cells (Yang et al., 2006), suggesting that the tumor suppressor regulates mTORC2, at least under some circumstances. Accordingly, we tested whether pUL38 influences Akt S473 phosphorylation as a consequence of its inhibitory interaction with TSC1/2. Although, as observed previously (Jacinto et al., 2006), starvation in PBS blocked Akt S473 phosphorylation, there was no difference in the level of Akt S473 phosphorylation in HFF-pUL38 as compared to control HFF-GFP cells maintained in medium containing serum (Figure 5B, middle panels). We find no evidence for an effect of pUL38 on mTORC2.

The effect of pUL38 on p70 S6 kinase and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation in response to stress was confirmed within HCMV-infected cells (Figure 6A). Fibroblasts were maintained in medium lacking serum overnight and then infected with BADWT (pUL38+) or BADdUL38 (pUL38−). After virus adsorption, the inoculum was removed and replaced with serum-free medium, and the phosphorylation status of mTORC1 targets was measured at 48 hpi. The level of phosphorylated p70 S6 kinase and 4E-BP1 was substantially reduced in cells infected with the pUL38-deficient virus. The phosphorylation of rpS6 was monitored as measure of p70 S6 kinase activity, and its phosphorylation was also substantially reduced in cells infected with pUL38-deficient virus as compared to cells infected with wild-type HCMV at each time tested (Figure 6B).

No phosphorylated p70 S6 kinase T389 was detected at 48 hpi with the pUL38-deficient virus (Figure 6A), whereas residual phosphorylated rpS6 S235/236 was evident at 48 and 72 hpi (Figure 6B). Earlier work has documented rapamycin-resistant phosphorylation of rpS6 in HCMV-infected cells in the absence of detectable phosphorylated p70 S6 kinase T389, and this led to speculation that the virus might induce an mTORC1-independent kinase activity that mediates the residual rpS6 S235/236 phosphorylation (Kudchodkar et al., 2004). Perhaps we see evidence of this kinase activity in our experiment; alternatively, the pUL38-deficient virus-infected cells might contain a small amount of active p70 S6K that we have failed to detect.

HCMV pUL38 is necessary (Figure 6) and sufficient (Figure 5) to deregulate mTORC1.

Figure 6. Phosphorylation of rpS6 in Response to Stress Is Decreased following Infection with a pUL38-Deficient Virus
As controls, pUL38 and tubulin were monitored. The results are representative of two independent experiments.
(A) Fibroblasts were maintained for 12 hr in serum-free medium, infected with BADWT (1 pfu/cell) or with BADdUL38 at an equivalent number of genomes per cell, and refed with serum-free medium. At 48 h postinfection, cells were harvested, and lysates prepared and analyzed by western blot using antibodies specific for indicated phosphorylated proteins or that recognize proteins irrespective of phosphorylation state.
(B) Fibroblasts were treated as in (A), and at the indicated hr postinfection (hpi), cells were harvested and analyzed by western blot using antibodies specific for total rpS6 and rpS6 phosphorylated at ser235/236.
We used a rapid, one-step purification method (Cristea et al., 2005) to capture epitope-tagged pUL38 expressed from the HCMV genome and employed mass spectrometry to identify multiple viral and cell proteins that copurified with it (Figure 1C and Table S1). The interaction with TSC1/2 was confirmed by using antibodies to the cellular partners to coprecipitate pUL38 from extracts of cells infected with wild-type HCMV (Figure 2).

We focused our functional analysis on the interaction of pUL38 with TSC2. Ecotropic expression of pUL38 in fibroblasts increased their size (Figures 4C and 4D). Increased cell size is a hallmark of tumors formed in tuberous sclerosis complex and results from constitutive mTORC1 signaling (Fingar et al., 2002; Tee et al., 2003). Increased size is also a hallmark of HCMV-infected cells (Gandhi and Khanna, 2004). The interaction of pUL38 with the tumor suppressor protein complex blocked its ability to regulate mTORC1 in response to stress in cells expressing the viral protein outside the context of infection (Figure 5) and in virus-infected cells (Figure 6). Limiting growth factors and nutrients activate TSC1/2, which blocks mTORC1. In control fibroblasts, this stress blocked phosphorylation of two direct mTORC1 targets, p70 S6K T389 and 4E-BP1 T37/46, as well as rpS6 S235/236, which is phosphorylated by activated p70 S6K (Figures 5A and 5B). In contrast, rapamycin-sensitive mTORC1 remained significantly more active in pUL38-expressing cells subjected to stress (Figures 5A and 5B). Further, AICAR-mediated stimulation of AMPK, which activates TSC1/2 and inhibits mTORC1, did not block phosphorylation of rpS6 in the presence of pUL38 (Figure 5C).

The physical interaction of pUL38 with TSC1/2 and the pUL38-mediated block to stress-induced inhibition of mTORC1 activity support the conclusion that the viral protein antagonizes the ability of TSC1/2 to regulate mTORC1 activity. This conclusion is consistent with earlier work showing that HCMV induces mTORC1 activity (Kudchodkar et al., 2004, 2006) and blocks the effect of AMPK on mTORC1 function (Kudchodkar et al., 2007). The relevance of mTORC1 function to HCMV pathogenesis is underscored by multiple observations: inhibition of mTORC1 by rapamycin antagonizes HCMV replication in cultured cells (Kudchodkar et al., 2004); shRNA depletion of the Raptor mTORC1 subunit inhibits virus growth (Kudchodkar et al., 2006); and, importantly, rapamycin protects against reactivation of HCMV in patients who have undergone allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (Marty et al., 2007).

How does pUL38 block TSC1/2 activity? The human papillomavirus type 16 E6 protein directs the degradation of TSC2 (Lu et al., 2004), and Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus vGPCR causes phosphorylation and inactivation of TSC2 (Sodhi et al., 2006). Further, expression of Epstein-Barr virus LMP protein correlates with Akt activation and hyperphosphorylation of the mTORC1 target 4E-BP1 (Moody et al., 2005), suggesting that this oncoprotein might also target TSC1/2. HCMV pUL38 does not reduce the level of TSC1 or TSC2 (Figure 2), it does not contain motifs predictive of intrinsic kinase activity, and there is no evidence for disruption of the TSC1/2 complex (Figures 2G and 3). Perhaps the interaction of pUL38 with TSC2 (Figures 2E and 2F) blocks an activating phosphorylation of TSC2 or facilitates an inhibitory phosphorylation. Alternatively, pUL38 could displace a component from the complex that we have not monitored, direct an antagonistic cellular protein to the complex, or interfere directly with the GAP activity of TSC2.

We have established that pUL38 alone is sufficient to antagonize the regulation of mTORC1 by TSC1/2. Additional inputs likely cooperate with pUL38 to modulate the TSC1/2-mTORC1 response pathway in infected cells (Figure 7). HCMV activates phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and its downstream targets, including Akt (Johnson et al., 2001). This activation is mediated at least in part by the HCMV immediate-early 1 and 2 proteins, which can induce PI3K-dependent phosphorylation of Akt, p53, p53 tumor suppressor protein; LKB1, Peutz-Jeghers syndrome protein; ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 and 2; RSK1, p90 ribosomal S6 kinase 1; IRS1, insulin receptor substrate protein; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; Akt, protein kinase B; AMPK, AMP kinase.

Figure 7. HCMV Influences Multiple Cellular Pathways that Communicate with mTORC1 through TSC1/2
Activities that are known to be enhanced or inhibited by HCMV infection are indicated by green or red boxes, respectively. Gray boxes mark activities not known to be modified by HCMV. The core pathway: ATM, ataxia-telangiectasia mutated protein; ATR, ataxia-telangiectasia and Rad3-related protein; DNA-PK, DNA-dependent protein kinase; DNA damage response (Kastan and Bartek, 2004), is mislocalized within HCMV-infected cells (Gaspar and Shenk, 2006), and p53, which activates AMPK in response to DNA damage (Feng et al., 2005), is inactivated after infection (Casavant et al., 2006). The ability of pUL38 to inhibit TSC1/2 and maintain active mTORC1 leads to predictions of additional consequences within infected cells. mTORC1 activates p70 S6 kinase, which favors...
the translation of mRNAs containing a 5’ TOP motif (Jefferies et al., 1997). By maintaining active p70 S6 kinase, pUL38 might alter translational specificity within infected cells. In a similar vein, active p70 S6 kinase downregulates insulin receptor substrates 1 and 2 (Harrington et al., 2004; Shah et al., 2004), so pUL38 might induce insulin resistance in HCMV-infected cells. Autophagy is regulated by mTORC1. Inhibition of mTORC1 by rapamycin (Shintani and Klionsky, 2004) or by activation of AMPK (Meley et al., 2006) can increase autophagy, so maintenance of active mTORC1 by pUL38 might inhibit autophagy. Finally, pUL38 might influence cell cycle status. The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27 is a Cip/Kip family member, and it contributes to the maintenance of cells in G0 (Coats et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2000). TSC2 binds to p27, stabilizing it (Rosner and Hengstschlager, 2004), and inhibition of TSC2 expression can induce quiescent fibroblasts to enter the G1 phase of the cell cycle (Soucek et al., 1997). HCMV induces G0 cells to enter G1, and three viral proteins, pUL82 (Kajeta et al., 2003), immediate-early 1 (Castillo et al., 2000), and immediate early 2 (Murphy et al., 2000), contribute to the induction. Given the ability of pUL38 to block TSC1/2 function, it is possible that it also helps to move quiescent cells into the cycle, providing an environment conducive to viral DNA replication.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cells, Viruses, and Reagents**

Human foreskin fibroblasts (passages 5–10) and 293T cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% newborn calf serum (NCS). To produce fibroblasts expressing pUL38 (HFF-pUL38), the UL38 open reading frame was amplified by PCR and cloned into pRetro-EBNA to make pRetroUL38. pRetroUL38 was transfected into the Phoenix Ampho packaging cells (Kinsella and Nolan, 1996) to generate retrovirus (RetroUL38), which was then used to infect fibroblasts. Control fibroblasts expressing green fluorescent protein (HFF-GFP) were produced by infection with RetroGFP (Silva et al., 2005). More than 90% of cells expressed pUL38 or GFP.

**BADWT** is produced from a clone of the HCMV AD169 strain, pAD/Cre (Yu et al., 2002). BADΔUL38 and BADΔUL38TAP are derivatives of BADWT that lack the UL38 coding region (Terhune et al., 2007) or contain a TAP tag fused to the C terminus of the UL38 ORF (Supplemental Data). Vectors expressing FLAG-tagged TSC1 and TSC2 (pRK7-FLAG-TSC1 and pRK7-FLAG-TSC2; Tee et al., 2002) and EGFP-tagged TSC2 lacking the TSC1-binding domain (EGFP-TSC2ΔDHBD; Goncharova et al., 2004) have been described.

Rapamycin (20 nM; Cell Signaling Technology) was used to block mTORC1 function, and 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside (AICAR, 5 mM; Cell Signaling Technology) was used to activate AMPK.

**Mass Spectrometry Analysis of pUL38-Interacting Proteins**

Fibroblasts, grown to ~70% confluence, were mock infected or infected at a multiplicity of 3 pfu/cell. After 24 hr, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and harvested by scraping. After centrifugation at 1200 g for 10 min at 4 °C, the cell pellet was weighed and resuspended (0.1 ml/g) in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), containing 1.2% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone, 1/100 (v/v) protease inhibitor mixture (20 mg/ml PMSF + 0.4 mg/ml pepstatin A), and 1/200 (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). The cells were frozen as small pellets by dropping into liquid nitrogen. Protein extraction, immunoprepurification, gel electrophoresis, and mass spectrometric analysis (Supplemental Data) have been described (Cristea et al., 2004; 2006; Cristea et al., 2005).

**Protein Analysis**

Proteins were analyzed by immunoprecipitation, western blot assay, and immunofluorescence (Supplemental Data). Experiments utilized rabbit peptide-specific, IgG antibodies from Cell Signaling Technologies: phospho-S6 protein (S235/236) and S6 protein (2211 and 2212), phospho-p70 S6 kinase (T389) and p70 S6 kinase (#9205 and 2708), phospho-4E-BP1 (T37/46 and 4E-BP1(#9459 and 9452), phospho-Akt (S473) and Akt (#9271 and 9272), and phospho-aceyl-coA carboxylase (S79) and acetyl-coA carboxylase (#3661 and 3662); and from Santa Cruz Biotechnology: TSC2 (sc-893) and normal rabbit IgG (sc-2027). Other experiments used mouse monoclonal antibodies: anti-FLAG (M2, Sigma Aldrich) anti-pUL38 (BD; Terhune et al., 2007) anti-TSC1 (MAA5532, Upstate); and anti-tubulin (T6199, Sigma Aldrich).

**Determination of Cell Volume**

For measurement of cell volume by fluorescence, adherent cells were incubated with calcein green AM for 1 hr at 37 °C, z-stack images (0.3 μm slices) were collected through entire individual cells using an RS3 spinning disk confocal microscope (Perkin Elmer), and fluorescent cell volume was calculated using Velocity 4.0 software (Improvement). For determination of relative cell volume by flow cytometry, cells were removed from culture dishes by trypsinization, and forward scatter was measured within 10 min by flow cytometry (BD Biosciences FACScan). Larger cells have a greater forward scatter.

**SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

The Supplemental Data include Supplemental Experimental Procedures and one supplemental table and can be found with this article online at http://www.cellhostandmicrobe.com/cgi/content/full/3/4/867/DC1/.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank E. Goncharova and V.P. Krymskaya (University of Pennsylvania) for gifts of plasmids and D. Spector (Hershey Medical School) for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from U.S. National Institutes of Health to B.T.C. (R00862), B.T.C. and M.P.R. (CA98810 and RR22220), M.P.R. (GM62427), and T.S. (AI54430 and CA85786); a Rockefeller University Women and Science Fellowship (CEN530379) to I.M.C.; and an American Cancer Society Postdoctoral Fellowship (PF-07073-01-MBC) to N.J.M.

Received: May 11, 2007
Revised: January 29, 2008
Accepted: March 5, 2008
Published: April 16, 2008

**REFERENCES**


Corton, J.M., Gillespie, J.H., Hawley, S.A., and Hardie, D.G. (1995). 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside (AICAR, 5 mM; Cell Signaling Technology) was used to activate AMPK.


Finger, D.C., Salama, S., Tsou, C., Harlow, E., and Blenis, J. (2002). Mammalian cell size is controlled by mTOR and its downstream targets S6K1 and 4EBP1/eIF4E. Genes Dev. 16, 14182–14187.


